News
How the media helped to create a 'pandemic'
Page 3

Music
Did The Beatles really write their own songs?
Page 13

International
Family in legal battle for custody of daughters
Page 16

Opinion
Raw milk has had a raw deal but it's udderly delicious
Page 18

The Uncensored Truth

TheLIGHT

ISSUE 47 Monthly

A FREE TRUTHPAPER

www.thelightpaper.co.uk

Hardwiring society's digital addiction

Increased device usage eroding our desire for freedom Page 4

Shifting the blame

Establishment liars seek to avoid justice over covid con

by **DARREN SMITH**

MANY people know by now that the public has been the victim of a colossal and co-ordinated fraud.

It involved billions of dollars, psychological warfare inflicted by governments and media, and utter lies from pharmaceutical companies.

Called upon to assist in the con were politicians, celebrities and corporations, resulting in millions of deaths, injuries, economic and personal disasters – and none of it was necessary.

What the rulers and their minions are now engaged in is a game of shifting the blame; making certain corporations or individuals the scapegoats for all of the criminal acts leading to death, serious injury, heart attacks, strokes and the continuing rise of excess deaths across the world.

For example, several U.S. attorney-generals are bringing lawsuits against Pfizer, one of the big pharma companies involved in manufacturing what we will now call genetic weapons.

The court documents expose Pfizer's deception in announcing a 'safe and effective vaccine for covid-19' to the world, making billions of dollars and causing untold harm in the process.

But why just them, when all of the pharma companies – AstraZeneca, Moderna, et al., are equally guilty of the same crimes? And why just the pharmaceutical companies,

Artwork: H. Kopp-Delaney

and not the politicians, celebrities, newscasters and other figures who berated, cajoled, smeared and otherwise coerced the general public to queue up for these genetic weapons?

We were outright lied to, and yet the media and governments continue to ignore the excess deaths, or claim they have nothing to do with the genetic weapons.

Do not be surprised to see certain lower-level politicians or celebrities blamed and vilified for the whole covid scamdemic, so that people are not minded to realise that the entire establishment is to blame.

They wanted us jabbed forever, with vaccine passports in place, so that those who refused to be lab rats would lose access to society and even food.

They collectivised hate of those who would not agree to medical tyranny.

These are the actions of tyrants and those helping support a totalitarian state – the 'Outer Party' of Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four* – people who are programmed to accept whatever the party says.

Wear a mask standing up, but not sitting down. No immediate lockdown of all airports. High net worth people allowed to travel freely. Open your windows in the middle of winter to let the virus out. Wash your hands all the time. Sing Happy Birthday while doing so.

Stand two metres apart outside, but don't worry about that inside. Vaccine passports needed yet vaccines are supposedly 100 per cent safe and effective. Asymptomatic transmission. Small businesses must close, but the world's biggest (with the most employees) allowed to open.

Those woke, allowable 'comedians' on TV will probably start to incorporate this into their stand-up routines soon and we'll all laugh about how mad it was.

Except it wasn't funny to glimpse what the totalitarian hell they have planned looks like.

Never forget, in Melbourne, police fired rubber bullets and tear gas at those peacefully protesting against the rules. Never forget, Canadian truckers who were refusing to accept vaccine mandates to keep their jobs had their bank accounts frozen while peacefully protesting, as did many of their supporters.

Those in charge and in the media refuse to accept what has happened and what they have done. That is understandable – but if those who have clearly caused great harm are not brought to justice then they will do it all over again, and indeed, they plan to.

Woke war is corporate enslavement

World's largest businesses support far-left causes

by **BRANDON SMITH**

NEARLY every major corporation has engaged in woke warfare since around 2016, and they have been relentless in their mission to make far-left extremism the dominant ideology in the western world.

Even to the point that they are willing to lose a massive portion of their customer base in the process (Bud Light is a great example of this).

The corporate insurgency against consumers is never more obvious than it is in June, unilaterally declared 'Pride Month' by an army of international companies and non-profit organisations. Make no mistake, Pride is not a grassroots endeavour nor a redress of grievances. The LGBT community has no legitimate grievances and they have exactly the same rights under the law as everyone else. Pride month is not about equality; it's about power and cultural control.

DEI (Diversity, Equality, Inclusion) is the same; a corporate effort to inject woke politics into daily life for workers as well as consumers until the rhetoric is so ingrained into everyone's existence that they no longer question its legitimacy.

Almost no one asked for Pride Month. Almost no one asked for DEI. Most people just don't care. Yet, every year for the past several years, corporations and elements of government have foisted these ideas on the population and told us this is something we will have to embrace if we want to be considered good people.

It is this argument which I want to focus on – the idea that corporations are now dictating morality to the public as if they are the arbiters of our social norms.

At some point along the way (it's hard to determine exactly when) corporations took on a new mantra, a new way of looking at business. These companies have always sought to influence people to buy products, often through dishonest and manipulative marketing. That's not what I'm talking about.

In our new era, corporations have decided that products no longer have to appeal to the buyers. They're no longer beholden to the customer; the customer is beholden to them. In other words, if they put out a product with woke intent, then the customer must buy that product and love it unconditionally or that customer is labelled an enemy.

Companies participating in 'Pride Month'

This is a grotesque juxtaposition of the traditional business/consumer relationship. I have long argued that corporations are not free market entities, but socialist constructs chartered and protected by governments. However, they used to at least care about making consumers happy so that they could make a profit and continue to function. This is no longer the case.

Today, corporations treat consumers as slaves on a plantation. They are to be told what to eat, what to drink, what to love, what to hate and what to think. Just look at what happens when consumers have pushed back against woke ideology or DEI in corporate marketing; in almost every case, that company, along with the establishment media, ATTACKS their customers as racists, bigots, misogynists or fascists.

They'll argue that if a group of customers doesn't like a product because of the political messaging, then they don't want those people as customers. They proudly point those people to the door. Then, when their products fail and their sales implode, they once again blame the bigots and racists.

When people are 'given' something the expectation is that they are supposed to be grateful for it, no matter what it is. That is to say, the Sharing Economy would breed a slave mentality in which everyone must be happy with what little they have. If they complain, well, they're ungrateful little monsters that need to be dealt with, right?

This is how the corporate world is treating the public RIGHT NOW. Imagine what things will be like in the next decade if we allow this trend to continue? Corporations can't force us to buy their DEI rubbish today, but what if tomorrow governments give them that power? What if they become the only suppliers?

This is what the culture war is really all about. There are people who want to embrace the dystopian system (mostly leftists) and people who see this system for the evil it truly is. There are people who want to perpetuate the woke cult, and people who are boycotting it. The sceptics who remain ignorant of this war don't matter; they are flotsam bobbing aimlessly on the tides of history. They don't care about it now, but they will care in the near future when their freedom of choice disappears completely.

- ***The Woke Movement Is Actually Corporate Enslavement – The Culture War Is A Fight To Stop It : https://tinyurl.com/4vhawnp6***

TheLIGHT
July 2024 The Uncensored Truth

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free of the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is Creative Commons, 2024. **thelightpaper.co.uk**
For all subscription issues, please contact:
subscriptions@thelightpaper.co.uk
For all distribution and pre–orders,
please email: **lightdistribution@mailbox.org**
For advertising enquiries, please contact:
ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith **Sub–editor:** Harry Wundas
Layout: mi.ki **Distribution:** Cath Swann & Matt Smith
Advertising: Nicola Kelly **Subscriptions:** Ross & Rebecca Pename
Proofreading: Alan I, Stevie M,Tracy S, Steve H, Shane F, David K, Jerry R

Special thanks to every one of our distributors and hubs who volunteer every month to bring you the uncensored news.

To keep our paper FREE is not free – you can help by ordering advance copies, donating or subscribing at:

https://thelightpaper.co.uk

"Propaganda does not deceive people; it merely helps them deceive themselves" - Eric Hoffer

How the media lie by omission

Newsroom silence over fears truth may jeopardise jab rollout

by **JACQUI DEEVOY**

AFTER working as a mainstream journalist for over 30 years, I noticed a serious shift back in 2020, and things have not been the same in the world of journalism since.

On March 24 2020, 13 days after the World Health Organisation and its mouthpiece, the legacy media, started one of the biggest propaganda campaigns in human history – by informing the public that a pandemic was upon us – and a day after the first UK lockdown was imposed, I discovered something rather uplifting... on the UK government website, no less.

There, in black and white, were words that I was sure everyone would be pleased to read. A few short paragraphs explained how this new strain of coronavirus, covid-19, wasn't scary at all. It mentioned low mortality rates and that, on March 19 2020 (four days earlier), it had been re-classified, moved out of the 'high consequence disease' bracket, and that, all in all, it was really nothing to worry about.

I breathed a sigh of relief; not for myself (I knew, even then, it was a massive scam) but for all the people who were already terrified by the news. As a freelance journalist, I wanted to get this information out there as quickly as possible, so I immediately approached several newspapers, naively believing the editors there would be as keen as I was to share such good tidings. My pitches were abruptly rejected. Strange. Why didn't the papers want to publish such happy news? Didn't they want to reassure the public? And if not, why not?

I couldn't get any answers, so handed the story over to an 'alternative' news website. This site – Newspunch (formerly Your News Wire) – was being given a lot of stick for being a 'fake news' site, when in actual fact, all their writers were guilty of was regularly questioning the mainstream narrative. I'd written for them since 2014, and although they published some out-there conspiracy stories, their news articles almost always got to the truth of many matters.

They published my story on March 24. Here it is: *https://tinyurl.com/4j6xb44u*

Not surprisingly, the original link to the government website page that I included in the story does not go to where it did back in March 2020, but instead to a page that carries general information about high consequence disease and the definition thereof. Fortunately, however, the clever editor at Newspunch archived the link. Take a look: *https://tinyurl.com/4hcfhzj4*

Journalist Jacqui Deevoy

I realised then that there was an agenda; something sinister going on between the government and the media. And it wasn't intended to help or reassure anyone

Now, unless you were familiar with Newspunch at that time, or had a penchant for rummaging through the millions of pages on the UK government website, this important information would have passed you by. I knew that without the media broadcasting it, the chances of it seeing the light of day were slim. I quickly discovered that they had no intention of helping, so the material remained buried.

The story I wrote did the rounds, however, and plenty of Newspunch readers saw it, but plenty more would have seen it had it been published in a national newspaper. I realised then that there was an agenda; something sinister going on between the government and the media. And it wasn't intended to help or reassure anyone.

This wasn't the only story of mine that was turned down by the papers; my pitch to 28 editors about the implementation of euthanasia in care homes and hospitals under the guise of covid treatment was also rejected. "It's not a story because it happens all the time," one editor said. They didn't want to hear about the fact that the covid-19 virus had never been isolated and therefore had never been proven to exist. They feigned interest in my research on the misattribution of cancer, heart attacks, accidents and other deaths to covid, but ultimately rejected the story because they felt I didn't have enough evidence. They didn't want to see anything that proved there were no excess deaths to be seen by mid 2021; one editor told me that the reason for fewer deaths than usual at that time was because everyone stayed at home so there were fewer accidents! (Yes, really.)

Another editor agreed to publish my story about how blanket DNR (Do Not Resuscitate) orders were being put on hospital patients and care home residents without theirs or their families' consent, but he quickly changed his mind once he read the shocking quotes from a whistleblower doctor I'd interviewed and included in the article.

A doctor speaking out about what was *really* going on behind the then locked doors of these establishments? Well, they certainly weren't going to support or publicise that! They weren't interested either, six months on, in tales of vaccine injury and death. The excuse for not publishing those stories from one news editor was that "it would jeopardise the vaccine rollout."

I had a couple of face-to-face meetings with the news editors of two papers. One turned up in a surgical mask and blue latex gloves. I asked him was he genuinely scared of the virus and he replied: "Not now I've had my jabs!"

Both editors' jaws were on the floor when I presented incontrovertible evidence for the euthanasia story, and both said separately that the story was front page news. They both took copies of all the paperwork I showed them, one subsequently made phone calls to four of the 16 case studies I'd found (people who were willing to be identified in a news article, saying that their loved ones had been brutally murdered by the state) and then they both went silent. One hasn't responded to any of my emails or phone calls since. Why?

At this time, new alternative publications were popping up left, right and centre, and they were all keen to print my articles, but I didn't want to be preaching to the choir: I felt a desperate yearning to get the truth into the mainstream. I tried my best, but it proved to be a thankless task and now, 32 months on, I'm tired and broke (alternative online publications are always happy to publish, but rarely happy to pay).

But I couldn't NOT have done it and I can't stop now – not when I truly feel we're winning. I've given up on the mainstream media, but I've not given up on people.

I can't help but wonder though, had a national newspaper published that first story – the story of how the so-called killer virus was demoted to flu status and was really nothing to fret about - how different the last four years would have been.

Hardwiring society's digital addiction

Smartphones a disease eating away at our desire for freedom

by **SEAN CARNEY**

DIGITAL addiction is a socially transmitted 'disease' arising from our technological subjectification – which is the process of turning us into objects of knowledge.

Today a constellation of industry influencers, non-governmental organisations (NGOs), intelligence agencies, politicians, military figures and celebrities are selling 'digital transformation' and a 'smart future'.

They are doing this while shaping society into a tool of technology. Not for the first time in history has a self-appointed elite driven a technocratic agenda, reimagining society to sustain their own glorification and power.

These technocratic stakeholders fuel our digital addiction to maintain sustained influence and mastery over society.

And scientists recognise that internet addiction is now an epidemic leading to psychological injury, mental health damage and other health problems.

In 2019, the World Health Organisation recognised gaming disorder as a disease, and in 2020, addiction to digital technology was named as another pressing health issue. Internet-connecting digital technology induces dysfunctional attitudes and behaviours as well as dependency on devices.

We must realise that digital devices and apps are made as seductively gamified and as addictive as possible. Automated Intelligence (AI) will exploit individual's vulnerabilities and desires via access to vast reserves of your data.

People are becoming strung out as if their devices supply electronic heroin. By normalising addiction to technology – and defending technology as if it were essential to our very being – we have moved from being a society that perceives itself as empowered by a world of digital tools in a democracy, to becoming the very tools of the digitally created world.

The digital transformation promised by the smart future's 'technocratic stakeholders' is causing a metamorphosis of the psyche towards a state of surrender, and possession.

It is as if some virulent parasite is at work upon us. A silent war is being waged non-kinetically, driving society to crave its own disempowerment and to succumb to addictive behaviours, heralding a population struggling with an epidemic of technologically induced mental health problems.

A silent war is being waged, driving society to crave its own disempowerment and to succumb to addictive behaviours, heralding a population struggling with mental health problems

Photo: technofaq.org

Smartphone addiction has been called 'smartphone dependence' and also nomophobia (i.e. NO MObile PHOne phoBIA); that is, the fear of being unable to access a smartphone or other internet connected smart device. Digital addiction is caused by the chemical changes the device stimulates in the neurological pathways of the brain.

The Blackberry, which was the forerunner of today's addictive smartphones, was dubbed a 'crackberry' for good reason.

The smartphone operates very much like a parasite. We persuade ourselves it is an essential part of us when in reality it chemically possesses us. We become obsessive about and defensive of the device, unaware we are fixated on the dopamine release it supplies.

The analogy of a parasite is apt. The parasite Toxoplasma gondii, for example, is linked to addictive and obsessive behaviours (and other neurological and psychiatric disorders) because it hijacks the body chemically – the same way a smartphone does.

Toxoplasma gondii dramatically increases dopamine levels to control the host's behaviour. Scrolling through internet content on a smartphone also causes dopamine influx. Like an addictive substance, dopamine stimulates our neurological pleasure-seeking and reward centres. We want more, but there is never enough. This is why putting away the phone or shutting off the internet can bring untold anxiety for people who have become 'chemically dependent' on their digital devices.

Hardwiring digital addiction as a way of life for society has been repeatedly achieved through the access point of trauma. From there, technological immersion in the consumption of social media – and other information streams – has steadily evolved into a dopamine crutch, epitomised by the smartphone. The device has especially appealed to affluent millennials who passed their digital addiction onto Generation Z and beyond.

The 2007–2009 Great Recession propelled the smartphone to popularity with its launch during that crisis. For the traumatised affluent, it offered a new way out. This period chained society to the new digital device and its fatal dopamine hold.

The recent 'pandemic' entrenched society's digital addiction deeper, especially during psychologically brutal and physically isolating lockdowns. This period of economic recession and social instability brought turmoil into every home. The dopamine-supplying digital devices further addicted society. However, like all drugs, the effect of dopamine from the digital crutch wears off, and more intensified screen addiction followed.

The lockdowns also facilitated a rapid rollout of 5G and AI technology, artificially accelerating society's technological transition into a world of enhanced digital dependency, stripped of privacy and ever-more defined by Big Data.

Further developments included bending laws to extend a rapid rollout of 5G into previously protected rural areas, and changing the status of telecoms infrastructure in law to a protected national security concern.

Big Tech weaponised the internet, harnessing the data it provided to curtail freedom of expression and control the electronic information stream. This fifth generation or non-kinetic warfare was launched to control public opinion.

Addiction of the populace to internet technology is key for targeting beliefs and achieving military-industrial strategic goals. We used to call it mind control.

Like Toxoplasma gondii, the military-industrial stakeholders in the smart future are pulling society's strings. However, despite the parasitic hold of internet-connecting technologies, people are gradually breaking the chains, eschewing digital dependency, and reducing the technology's potential to hack into the brain's neurological pathways.

The process of regaining control over one's life and time away from technology is known as a digital detox.

● ***Sean Alexander Carney is a participating member of Safe Tech International: https://safetechinternational.org/***

Euthanised during fake pandemic

Heartbreak over mum's sudden deterioration and death

by **JACQUI DEEVOY**

THESE photos are of my friend Lorraine's mum, Dora, in 2020. The pictures were taken three months apart: the first on Mother's Day in March and the second in June.

Dora is clearly distraught in the later photo, taken by daughter Lorraine during a video call. Her expression sums up what the government – aided and abetted by care home staff, nurses and doctors – did to the thousands of innocent and vulnerable people who were incarcerated in care homes and systematically euthanised during the faux pandemic.

Lorraine says: "Mum resided in a care home. One day in late 2020, I was told that mum was extremely ill and I was led to believe she was dying. I asked for an ambulance. It was called but the GP cancelled it.

On January 8, 2021, mum told me during a video call that she'd been given the covid jab and that it had made her violently sick. I had not been told this by staff and I did not consent to the jab.

Less than two weeks later, mum was unwell again and another GP called me to ask if I wanted an ambulance for her. I agreed and mum was admitted into hospital. I was relieved as I honestly thought she'd be safe there, but was called the next day and told to



Top: Lorraine with her mom Dora
Bottom: Dora clearly in distress

come in as she was dying.

When I got there, I saw she had a syringe driver on her leg. I was told it was administering midazolam and morphine, 'to make her comfortable'. The doctor kept topping it up. On the last dose, her eyes flew open in absolute horror. Then she

When I got there, I saw Mum had a syringe driver on her leg. I was told it was administering midazolam and morphine, 'to make her comfortable' The doctor kept topping it up. On the last dose, her eyes flew open in absolute horror. Then she stopped breathing. It was horrendous. I'll never forget that moment as long as I live

stopped breathing. It was horrendous. I'll never forget that moment as long as I live.

After her death on January 19, 2021, I asked for an inquest, but a doctor from the hospital (who said he'd been assigned to 'deal with people like you', i.e., relatives who wanted post-mortems done) phoned me to say mum died of covid even though it originally stated 'pneumonitis' on her death certificate. The cause of death was then changed on the certificate to 'covid'. I didn't realise at the time that there could not be an inquest if someone died of covid. The doctor said mum was severely dehydrated when she arrived at the hospital, but that she wasn't put on a drip. Why didn't they hydrate her? How could they be so cruel?

I will not give up on trying to get justice for my mum. It's been difficult, but even now, four years on, I still feel the need to speak out and expose these murderers. I truly believe the hospital staff hastened my mum's death and that the care home and her GP were complicit. And I want them to be held accountable for the crimes they've committed and for what they did to my mum and many others."

RIP, Dora Strevens (15.12.32 - 19.1.21).

Assange: Where does he go from here?

by **HENRY WIDDAS**

JULIAN Assange has been released after five years in custody, but what that means for the awakening community and the battle against technocracy remains to be seen.

As with many public figures from the 'alternative' spectrum that are graced with airtime on the mainstream TV news, Assange is a divisive figure.

Lauded by some as the greatest journalist of our time, he also faces criticism for being used as a distraction in some form or another, allegedly as controlled opposition and/or a deterrent to other would-be whistleblowers.

At the time of writing, the reported terms of his release deal are that Assange will plead guilty to an infringement of the U.S. Espionage Act in return for a five-year time-served sentence and the freedom to return home to Australia.

Investigative journalist, James Corbett, said that this plea deal has established that publishing material the government regards as dangerous is illegal.

So what at first may seem like a victory for free speech could be the direct opposite.

Having looked into research about Assange, there are some odd things about his background and the meteoric rise of WikiLeaks, but we should remain on the fence and judge him by his actions now that he has been given back his voice.

Hopefully he will raise awareness about false flag attacks, the falsification of history, globalist control networks and the utter charade of left/right politics.

If not, then perhaps he will be welcomed by the MAM more than the awakening crowd, with MAM standing for mainstream alternative media – a group that allows for debate outside of what is acceptable to the MSM, but still limits that debate to subjects that do not include the issues in the paragraph above.

Released Julian Assange

GB News would be a good example of MAM content, as would Russell Brand, Joe Rogan and Tucker Carlson. Perhaps Assange will join them in a crusade to challenge the system, while inadvertently or otherwise, protecting that very same system?

Or perhaps he will slip away and live his life outside of the public sphere to spend much-needed time with his family, his job done and role fulfilled?

If he has any idea about where the world really is being taken, I hope he uses the many platforms he will be offered to call out the World Health Organisation, the World Economic Forum and the Bilderberg Group.

- ***Wikileaks: Made by the N.S.A. https://tinyurl.com/mryjnsan***
- ***Talk Thread: Assange Gets a Plea Deal https://tinyurl.com/yxypnnch***

United Nations global digital ID bid

Sustainable Development Goals an own goal for freedom

by **IAIN DAVIS AND WHITNEY WEBB**

THE United Nations, through its Sustainable Development Goals, has revealed how it sees itself as a 'global governance regime'.

The UN uses what it calls the 'policy tool' of human rights to place citizens at the centre of international crises.

This enables the UN and its 'stakeholder partners' to seize crises as 'opportunities' to limit and control our behaviour.

The global public-private partnership (G3P), with the UN at its heart, redefines and even discards our supposed human rights entirely, claiming 'crisis' as justification.

The overall objective of Sustainable Development Goal 16 (SDG16) is to strengthen the UN regime.

The UN acknowledges that SDG16.9 is the most crucial of all its goals. It is, the regime claims, essential for the attainment of numerous other SDGs.

At first, SDG16.9 seems relatively innocuous:

'By 2030, provide legal identity for all, including birth registration.'

But, as ever, when it comes to UN sustainable development, all is not as it initially appears.

SDG16.9 is designed to introduce a centrally controlled, global system of digital identification. In combination with other global systems, such as interoperable Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs), this can then be used to monitor our whereabouts, limit our freedom of movement and control our access to money, goods and services.

Universal adoption of SDG16.9 digital ID will enable the G3P global governance regimes to establish a worldwide system of reward and punishment. If we accept the planned model of digital ID, it will ultimately enslave us in the name of sustainable development.

The UN underwent a quiet revolution in the 1990s. In 1998, then UN Secretary General Kofi Annan stated that "the business of the United Nations involves the businesses of the world."

Government's reduced role was to create the regulatory enabling environment for private investors, alongside taxpayers, to finance what would become SDGs. Using the highly questionable climate crisis as an alleged justification, in 2015, the UN's Millennium Development Goals gave way to the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

On September 25, 2015, UN General Assembly Resolution 70.1 (A/Res/70.1) formally established the SDGs by adopting the binding resolution to work towards 'Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development'.

Universal adoption of digital ID will enable the global governance regimes to establish a worldwide system of reward and punishment

As soon as the ink was dry on the resolution, the UN set about creating the enabling environment to encourage public-private partnerships to develop a system of global digital ID.

In May 2016, in response to SDG16.9, the United Nations Office for Partnerships convened the ID2020 Summit – Harnessing Digital Identity for the Global Community. This established the ID2020 Alliance.

The ID2020 Alliance is a public-private partnership that has been setting the future course of digital identity since its founding. The global accountancy and corporate branding giant PwC (formerly Pricewaterhouse Coopers,) was selected by the UN as the lead sponsor of the inaugural ID2020 summit in 2016. Excited about the opportunities digital ID would present, PwC described the ID2020 sustainable development objective:

'To create technology-driven public-private partnerships to achieve the United Nations 2030 Sustainable Development Goal of providing legal identity for everyone on the planet. Specifically, ID2020's mission aligns with development target 16.9: Legal identity for all, including birth registration.

'Thirty percent of the world's population, approximately 1.5 billion people, lack a legal identity, leaving them vulnerable to legal, political, social and economic exclusion.'

Offering us digital ID to address so-called economic exclusion, the ID2020 Alliance duly launched in 2017 and set its Agenda2030 goal: enabling access to digital identity for every person on the planet.

You will note that the UN's SDG16.9 makes no mention of global digital ID. Sustainable development, as it is presented to us, is nothing if not deceptive.

The ID2020 Alliance announced a 'strategic, global initiative' for digital ID that presented humanity with a quite astonishing idea. The regime stated that the lack of legal identity – digital ID – prevented people from accessing 'healthcare, schools, shelter, justice, and other government services', thereby allegedly creating what it called 'the identity gap'.

Empowered by the global governance regime, the ID2020 Alliance expanded on the idea that we are only permitted to live in its society if we can prove who we are, using its digital ID, to the satisfaction of the G3P regime.

The ID2020 manifesto states:

'The ability to prove one's identity is a fundamental and universal human right... we live in a digital era. Individuals need a trusted, verifiable way to prove who they are, both in the physical world and online.

ID2020 Alliance partners jointly define functional requirements, influencing the course of technical innovation and providing a route to technical interoperability, and therefore trust and recognition.'

SDG16.9 sustainable development means we must use digital ID that meets the functional requirements of the ID2020 Alliance partnership. Otherwise we will not be protected in law, service access will be denied, our right to transact in the modern economy will be removed, we will be barred from participating as citizens and excluded from so-called democracy.

The world's largest investors are particularly encouraged to use their money to tackle the alleged identity gap in least developed countries (LDCs) first. This will be achieved by prioritising investment in 'cross-sectoral digital public goods and architecture'.

Very graciously, the G3P will 'allow LDCs to be the stewards of their national digital agendas' – providing, of course, they fully comply with the right agenda.

The objective is to marshal 'the necessary resources to fund and achieve national and global targets'. That is to say, LDC national governments are allowed to adopt digital transformation policies aligned to global targets.

There is no doubt that the ID2020 Alliance fully appreciates the implications of what it is doing. In a now quite infamous 2018 article, one of the founding partners of ID2020, Microsoft, published the following:

'As more and more transactions become digital in nature and are built around a single global identification standard, supported by Microsoft, the question of who will govern this evolving global community and economy becomes relevant. Especially since non-participants in this system would be unable to buy or sell goods or services.'

While the regime talks about inclusion, it is building a global digital ID system that is inherently exclusionary and can punish regime critics or silence dissident voices by cutting them off from its society. Being forced to use digital ID against your will is not a right, but it can be called a human right because, as defined by the UN, those are not rights, they are policy tools.

A system of biometric digital ID can only become essential for all if it is made essential. There is no current necessity for it. The need has to be manufactured first. Hence the proclaimed identity gap.

- ***SDG16: Part 2 — Enforcing Digital Identity: https://tinyurl.com/5aa8tx5p***

Mum's victory over forced injection bid

After two years of fighting, judge delivers verdict for freedom

by **SUE CHATTON**

WHEN Tom was born, Sarah kissed him on the forehead and whispered "I will always protect you."

Tom was born with a chromosome condition, a congenital heart disease and has severe learning difficulties. With a mental age of around 18 months, he is entirely reliant on Sarah.

Due to her love and protection, ensuring Tom was well nourished and kept fit and healthy, he has lived as normal a life as possible and far, far outlived the usual age of someone born with Tom's conditions.

Early in 2020, when the headlines were screaming 'PANDEMIC!', Sarah was absolutely terrified for Tom, but strangely, all the support she had had from social services suddenly stopped, and no one was there to help her.

Gradually she lost her initial fears, as she realised there were a lot of lies and propaganda. Then came the 'vaccines'. She knew in her heart and soul that Tom would be in danger if he was to receive them.

Early in 2021, she was contacted again to recommence Tom's regular treatments, but this time things were different. The usual friendliness of the support team was gone. Now, it was the same question being asked: had Tom had his injections? And then the worst thing possible happened: she was summoned to court by the Integrated Care Board (ICB).

Despite Sarah's solicitor obtaining a full report from a professor in America who specialises in Tom's rare chromosome condition, and that report specifically stating that under no circumstances must Tom be given any of the covid-19 injections, the judge insisted that Tom must be injected.

Sarah's own doctor had refused to inject Tom, as it is unethical and not in Tom's best interest, and a pharmacist who was approached by the ICB to carry out the procedure had also declined, as his insurance company stated that he would not be insured should anything happen to Tom.

The ICB then appointed their own doctor who was more than willing to do it, and so began court proceedings which led to the July 4 hearing this year.

After two-and-a-half years of long drawn out fighting, costing Sarah, her supporters and the tax payer a fortune and going through a living hell, the saga came to a final conclusion.

Tom and mum Sarah

After what felt like an eternity, the judge delivered his verdict. Due to the 'changing covid landscape' and current official government guidelines, now not including people with Tom's condition in their list of those deemed vulnerable enough to be injected, he concluded that Sarah was not going to be compelled to have Tom forcibly jabbed. The relief finally came. Could anyone even begin to imagine what Sarah must have been going through?

It is only down to Sarah's tenacity, her total love for Tom and her absolute terror of what may happen to him if he was to have the injections, that kept up her fight for his life.

Massive thanks go to Sarah's solicitor, Stephen Jackson, and her barrister, Paul Diamond, who worked tirelessly with her to get through this, and also to the many, many people who have supported this through donations and sharing the story and videos.

This is such an important win, not just for Sarah and Tom, but for everyone who values freedom and the inalienable right of informed consent.

It is horrific that the state and the system are allowed to interfere in family lives in this way, but Tom's case shows that by keeping up the fight and not giving in to tyranny, we can win.

WAKE UP YOUR NEIGHBOURS!

Order this month, tell us which streets you would like *THE LIGHT* delivered to next month, and we'll do the rest. *Simple!*

The Uncensored Truth
TheLIGHT

100 papers £45
200 papers £75
500 papers £190

thelightpaper.co.uk/neighbours

Light Distributors Wanted – Get Paid To Deliver MORE papers!
by sending all postcodes that you will deliver in to wakeupyourneighbours@protonmail.com

Subscribe and support us at https://thelightpaper.co.uk

BBC attacks Kenyan farmer over climate change 'denial'

by **THI THUY VAN DINH**

ON June 15 2024, the BBC's climate change misinformation reporter, Marco Silva, published a hit piece on the Kenyan farmer, Jusper Machogu, entitled: *How a Kenyan farmer became a champion of climate change denial.*

The reporter claims that Mr Machogu, a 29-year-old farmer with many thousands of followers on X for his campaign, Fossil Fuels for Africa, holds dangerous views denying climate change.

I grew up without electricity and I recently explained how I questioned the official climate narrative. I do find it extremely disgusting that a senior journalist sitting in London, using daily modern technologies powered by fossil fuels, in a country that became rich thanks to fossil fuels (and loot from Kenya), should write such a disdainful piece in one of the biggest media outlets on Earth about a young man who appears to have knowledge, hard work and passion to serve his community and people. I also find this piece to be below the BBC's editorial standards, which supposedly include values such as truth, fairness, accuracy, and impartiality.

The reporter makes ad hominem attacks on Mr Machogu throughout the piece; a journalist of a global broadcasting company based in one of the richest places on earth writes statements like these:

'On social media, he (Mr Machogu) has become known as flag-bearer for fossil fuels in Africa, but there is more to his campaign than meets the eye,' and 'Mr Machogu began tweeting false and misleading claims about climate change in late 2021, after carrying out his "own research" into the topic.'

Clearly, the reporter doesn't seem to think that Mr Machogu has the right to carry out his own research and make tweets about that. I don't understand why a BBC journalist can have freedom of expression but a Kenyan farmer cannot.

What is wrong with Mr Machogu's posting about 'farmer content' like 'weeding his land, planting garlic or picking avocados' in rural Kisii (south-west Kenya)? Aren't we in the era of social media influencers, of those who make videos about their lives, their workouts, their gardening, their pets, or their exotic vacations and conferences?

Jusper Machogu

What is wrong with using the hashtag #ClimateScam hundreds of times? Does the BBC believe that they should approve hashtags? What is wrong with posts titled 'There is no climate crisis'?

Had the reporter applied a little impartiality, he could have led his audiences to the global declaration, 'There is no climate emergency', signed by almost 2,000 scientists and professionals, including two Nobel laureates (John F. Clauser, Ivar Giaever) and top-notch scholars (Guus Berkhout, Richard Lindzen, Patrick Moore, Ian Plimer, et al.).

The reporter could even have acknowledged that Mr Machogu's clear aim is to reduce poverty in his chronically energy-starved country, as was seen in the excellent film *Climate: The Movie (The Cold Truth)*, made by the UK director Martin Durkin and available in 30 languages thanks to volunteers. Instead, he did not provide the link to the film and described it as 'a film crew from the UK travelled to Kisii to interview him (Mr Machogu) for a new documentary that described climate change as an "eccentric environmental scare".'

Besides the quotes issued from Mr Machogu's tweets, it was reported that he did not have a problem with raising some money to improve his conditions and help some people around him. The donations seem to be voluntary – like we do regularly for charities, groups, and churches – modest (more than $9,000) and well spent. Perhaps a comparison to the earnings of a climate disinformation reporter would have provided useful context?

It is very strange that the BBC is concerned about a small amount allegedly from 'individuals with links to the fossil fuel industry and to groups known for promoting climate change denial.' Should the BBC be transparent about the huge amounts it has received outside the UK, for example, from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, run by a person with major investments in technologies that benefit from climate alarmism? A quick search on the Gates Foundation website shows millions over the last decade. Do you know why these individuals are the problem?

We learn in the piece that Mr Machogu interacts with those who 'promote conspiracy theories online – not just about climate change, but also about vaccines, covid-19, or the war in Ukraine.'

All things, apparently, where disagreement with an official British government line is to be shunned and suppressed, however false those positions are found to be.

By judging 'wrong' Mr Machogu's tweet that: 'Climate change is mostly natural. A warmer climate is good for life,' the reporter shows that he is the one who confuses science with dogma. Climate is influenced by a whole range of natural and anthropogenic factors. By characterising Mr Machogu's social media content as 'denial of man-made climate change,' the climate disinformation reporter is directly spreading disinformation, because Mr Machogu doesn't deny anthropogenic causes of climate change.

The BBC can certainly do better than this. Instead, it chose to promote and practice advocacy journalism (i.e. propaganda), showing disrespect to its audience. This BBC reporter should re-study its editorial guidelines, or do something else more useful.

To Mr Machogu, bravo for your intelligence and courage! While you have missed the opportunity of making a career with the climate cult, for example as a United Nations Youth Climate Adviser, the BBC hit piece just showed you how pitiful that path is.

May the wealth of your people begin to approach the wealth that the colonisers achieved by digging, drilling, and burning coal and oil in Britain.

- ***BBC Climate Disinformation Reporter Attacks Kenyan Farmer: https://tinyurl.com/4fd7e8fp***

Eating insects part of green agenda

Governments being urged to promote alternative protein

by **TIM HINCHLIFFE**



THE unelected globalists at the World Economic Forum (WEF) have called on governments to promote fake meat and other alternative proteins in a coordinated effort to drive 'consumer behavior change'.

In a white paper entitled 'Creating a Vibrant Food Innovation Ecosystem: How Israel Is Advancing Alternative Proteins Across Sectors', the authors claim that the push towards alternative proteins requires a worldwide effort of governments and corporations working together to manipulate human behaviour.

'Government leadership is needed to develop and promote alternative proteins and address one of the biggest global challenges of this era.'

According to the report, achieving universally accessible protein will require multiple transition pathways:

1. Accelerating protein diversification;
2. Advancing sustainable production systems;
3. Driving consumer behaviour change.

What's the reason behind the push to drive consumer behaviour change towards fake meat and other alternative proteins?

'The science is clear: it will be impossible for governments and others, including farmers, the private sector and consumers, to meet their obligations under the Paris Agreement and decarbonise the global economy without investing in sustainable protein diversification pathways and the overall food system.

'Nations around the world are becoming aware of the benefits of prioritising alternative proteins to meet their climate, biodiversity, food security and public health goals,' the report claims.

However, due to the 'high-tech nature' of alternative proteins and their 'high capital expenditures requirement and longer return on investment timelines,' the authors say they 'may pose investment challenges for some parts of the private sector.'

This is where the unelected globalists want governments to step in to incentivise, coerce, modify, or otherwise manipulate human behaviour, 'within current frameworks,' like the ones that gave us covid lockdowns and vaccine passports.

The authors claim: 'Governments can create clear, supportive, agile and efficient regulatory processes to ensure safe and transparent pathways that instil confidence in consumers and industry players alike, fostering a robust alternative protein market in a shift towards food systems that are more sustainable, secure and just.'

On the flipside, Italy has already banned lab-grown meat, and *Wired* reports that 'states are lining up to outlaw lab-grown meat,' with legislation proposed in Florida, Alabama, Arizona, Kentucky, and Tennessee.

Notably absent from the latest WEF report is any mention of the use of insects as an alternative protein, which is something the unelected globalists have been pushing for years.

The current report says that alternative proteins consist of plant-based meat, cultivated meat, and fermented products.

However, the WEF's White Paper on Alternative Proteins, published in January 2019, states that alternative proteins involve 'purely plant-based alternatives, products based on insects and other novel protein sources, and the application of cutting-edge biotechnology to develop cultured meat.'

So, it appears that the WEF is trying to distance itself from the 'you will eat zee bugs' ridicule it has been receiving as of late.

The official narrative to push fake food on the populace is that it will help tackle challenges in climate change, food security, and planetary health.

The latest report states: 'In light of the escalating challenges posed by climate change and the need to ensure food security, nations are called on to undertake a collective effort to elevate alternative proteins as a solution.'

But what the alternative protein agenda is really about is destroying independent farmers, taking their land, and controlling what people can eat.

Control the food, control the people.

The same can be said of money and energy, and the unelected globalists are definitely trying to control them all through individual carbon footprint trackers, central bank digital currencies, and alternative proteins.

At the same time, the WEF report says that a potential shift to alternative proteins would actually help farmers: 'There is a growing acknowledgement that, despite the industry being in its infancy, alternative proteins – meat made from plants, cultivated from animal cells or fermentation-derived meat – have transformative potential, particularly for farmers, who can benefit from and lead the transition towards a thriving alt-protein economy.'

According to the authors: 'Projections indicate a substantial surplus of agricultural side streams, particularly from corn, soy, wheat, sugarcane, barley, rice, canola and tomatoes. Using these for alternative protein production represents a significant opportunity to enhance sustainability and circularity within the food supply chain, optimising resource use and creating a more resilient agricultural sector.'

In other words, farmers will be coerced, incentivised or otherwise manipulated into growing only what they are told to grow, so that their crops can be used to produce fake food.

At the same time: 'Plant-based and cultivated meat require a small fraction of the land and cause far fewer emissions than industrial animal farming. Freed-up land can be repurposed for biodiversity preservation, reforestation and more ecologically friendly and regenerative methods of animal farming.'

The idea here is to shrink the amount of land needed for farming real food in order to make way for fake food that can be synthetically engineered with 'far fewer emissions'.

Climate change policies have morphed into public and planetary health policies, and the unelected globalist solutions are always the same: merge corporation and state to monitor, manipulate, and control human behaviour.

More Info

World Economic Forum, Creating a Vibrant Food Innovation Ecosystem, May 22, 2024

- https://tinyurl.com/yx5bezdy

Water fluoridation still a threat

by **JAY WATERS**

THE deadline for responding to the government's public consultation on water fluoridation in the north-east of England has been extended until 31 July 2024.

The water supply in the north-east of England is under attack and the region's residents must fight back.

Many people in the north-east still don't realise it, but a long-threatened day is now here: the government have launched a public consultation on fluoridation of the region's drinking water. This is the water, treated and supplied by Northumbrian Water, that should be potable, wholesome and health-giving. Instead, it will become poisonous through the addition of toxic fluoridating acid, a form of hazardous industrial waste, according to campaigners from the Fluoride Free Alliance UK (FFAUK).

The campaign is urging residents to learn about the dangers inherent in this government proposal, and to do everything in their power to stop it.

When it comes to protecting our drinking water, Joy doesn't mince her words: "Fluoridated water is compulsory, unlicensed medicinal water," she says. "This is an absolute scandal. Countless studies have shown that fluoridation is ineffective in addressing tooth decay, and can be very damaging to our health, as well as to the environment. Not only are cases of dental fluorosis higher in fluoridated children, there is also evidence of fluoride causing type 2 diabetes, hypothyroidism and a higher incidence of hip fracture."

A high-profile court case in the United States is currently assessing claims around fluoride's neurotoxicity, due to evidence that exposure to fluoride when in the womb – and when an infant is given baby formula made with fluoridated water – are key reasons for a reduction in children's I.Q. Yet Joy warns that "the UK's Department of Health and Social Care have quietly pushed out this consultation online, hoping that hardly anyone will notice. They have made it intentionally difficult to respond to, and virtually impossible for those without access to a computer."

FFAUK points to the fact that once people become aware of the dangers of water fluoridation, a clear majority are against it. 80 per cent of previous polls in England have opposed fluoridation by a majority of 90 per cent or more, and every previous consultation has been opposed.

But, as Joy comments: "People can't object to something they don't know exists. So our job is to increase the public's awareness of this insidious plan so they can make their views known. We need to act quickly, though: even though the public consultation has been extended to July 31, it will be too late once it's over!"



Infographic: healthpositiveinfo.com

The campaign team has been busy assembling information online, to help educate residents so that they can respond to the consultation in a knowledgeable and cogent manner. Their website – https://newfc.org.uk – offers advice and plenty of relevant information. For example, they point to the fact that 98 per cent of European water supplies are non-fluoridated, and that it has already been outlawed in Scotland.

While only 10 per cent of those in England currently have fluoride added to their water supply, and it's fully 40 years since the last fluoridation scheme was introduced to the UK, the government now has the rest of the UK's population – 61 million – in its sights. "They've been determined to start with the North-East, for some reason," says Joy, "and they're now targeting 1.6m people throughout the region. It's vital for people to take action, to protect our water and our children's intelligence!"

The Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) has started a public consultation (ends July 31) in the north-east to ask 1.6m residents for their views on having their drinking water fluoridated. The areas proposed for water fluoridation are currently provided with drinking water by Northumbrian Water Limited. These are:

- County Durham
- South Tyneside
- Darlington
- Middlesbrough
- Stockton-on-Tees
- Redcar & Cleveland
- Parts of Northumberland
- Sunderland
- Alston & District
- Nearly 30 villages in the North Yorkshire borderlands

Here are 19 claims about the harms and deficiencies of water fluoridation, as outlined in the UKFFA's A5 leaflet which is to be distributed to 50,000 homes in the north-east:

1. Fluoridated water is completely unlicensed medicinal water.
2. Those with mental incapacity cannot be forced to drink compulsory medicine.
3. Non-consensual compulsory medicine violates our human rights.
4. The dose is uncontrolled.
5. Everyone, regardless of body mass, drinks the same concentration.
6. Vulnerable people are often sensitised to fluoride.
7. Fluoride is an enzyme disruptor.
8. Medical ethics: GPs must review prescriptions (compulsory medication should be no different).
9. Over-exposure: fluoride comes from many sources.
10. The 'medicine' is hazardous industrial waste.
11. Fluoride is not an essential nutrient (fluoride deficiency does not exist). Fluoride is a permanent and non-reversible brain-damaging neurotoxin leading to reduced IQ of the foetus and infant.
12. Fluoride almost doubles the incidence of hypothyroidism.
13. There are double the number of hip fractures in fluoridated areas.
14. A systematic review concluded that fluoride is a cause of type 2 diabetes.
15. Swallowed fluoride is not a cost-effective means of reducing dental decay.
16. Four UK-based studies between 2000 and 2023 found no proof that swallowed fluoride reduces oral health inequalities.
17. 98 per cent of Europe does not fluoridate its drinking water.
18. 50 per cent of the added fluoride reaches our dying rivers. The EU describes fluorides as dangerous substances in the aquatic environment.

- ***Full references for these claims can be found on the newfc.org.uk website: https://tinyurl.com/48y88ekz***
- ***For further information, please visit the FFAUK website: https://ukfffa.org.uk and https://newfc.org.uk***

Dads are vital to success for children

by **PAUL BENNETT**

Fathers can boost their child's achievements in education

A FATHER'S involvement in childcare has a big positive impact on their child's school achievement, research has found.

The University of Leeds study found interactive dads can give their children an educational advantage at primary school by reading, singing, drawing, and playing with them on a regular basis.

The longitudinal analysis in England explored the relationship between fathers' childcare involvement and their children's achievement at school.

The paternal focused study was funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) and led by Dr Helen Norman, Research Fellow at Leeds University Business School, in collaboration with co-author Dr Jeremy Davies, Head of Impact and Communications at the Fatherhood Institute, and co-investigators at the University of Manchester.

Analysing primary school test scores for five, seven and eleven-year-olds, the researchers used a representative sample of nearly

Photo: Mostofa Asif

5,000 mother-father households in England from the Millenium Cohort Study, which collected data on children born in 2000-02.

Published on the UK Data Service website, the director of the Millennium Cohort Study, Emla Fitzsimons, said: 'The study has been tracking the lives of thousands of people since they were babies and is uniquely placed to help researchers better understand Gen Z and the influences on different spheres of their lives now, including their mental and physical health, their intellectual development and relationships.'

Dr Norman said: 'Mothers still tend to assume the primary carer role and therefore tend to do the most childcare, but if fathers actively engage in childcare too, it significantly increases the likelihood of children getting better grades in primary school.

'This is why encouraging and supporting fathers to share childcare with the mother, from an early stage in the child's life, is critical. Dads' involvement impacted positively on their children's school achievement regardless of the child's gender, ethnicity, age in the school year or household income.'

Dr Davies added: 'Our analysis has shown that fathers have an important, direct impact on their children's learning. We should be recognising this and actively finding ways to support dads to play their part, rather than engaging only with mothers, or taking a gender-neutral approach.'

According to data from the UK Office of National Statistics (ONS): 'One in nine [fathers] report their job as being a stay-at-home dad – a rise of 34 per cent in just three years.'

In a similar study in Japan, researchers from Doshisha University and Kyoto University found that children with highly involved fathers had a lower risk of developmental delays, and thus their physical and cognitive development were boosted.

The findings, published in medical journal *Pediatric Research* on July 8, 2023, analysed birth cohort data from the Japan Environment and Children's Study, an ongoing project examining how the environment impacts children's health.

Out of a sample size of 28,050 children, the Japanese researchers looked at the level of a father's involvement in childcare when the children were six months old, and the risk of developmental delays at the age of three years.

According to the study: 'For all indicators tested, children with highly involved fathers were less likely to be developmentally delayed. These indicators included communication, social skills, and motor skills. This shows that the father-child relationship plays a significant role in physical development.

'Our research findings indicate that increased paternal engagement in childcare could yield advantages for both children and mothers alike.'

The research led by University of Leeds recommended that dads carve out as much time as they can to engage in interactive activities with their children each week. For busy, working dads, even just ten minutes a day could potentially have educational benefits.

- ***Dr Helen Norman leads research on paternal involvement and its effects on children's education: https://tinyurl.com/yeyjdxbv***

If you want to help spread the uncensored truth

...then please pre-order advance copies of

The Uncensored Truth
TheLIGHT

each month for your group, town or community:

25 copies for £10
100 copies for £20
200 copies for £25
500 copies for £50

www.thelightpaper.co.uk/bulk–order

Bill of rights to end our rights

Law experts raise red flags over consultation paper

by **IAN MACBETH**

THE government is attempting to update the Human Rights Act 1998, by replacing it with a Bill of Rights, 'in order to restore a proper balance between the rights of individuals, personal responsibility and the wider public interest.'

This proposal 'will restore Parliament's role as the ultimate decision-maker on laws impacting the UK population,' possibly breaking its international law obligations in the process.

Excuse me for saying so, but you don't reform something by replacing it.

There is nothing in the consultation paper (link below) that explains why such a radical move is actually needed. Let's have a look at what they say in this paper and hear from some of the legal and human rights experts who are concerned.

On page 6 of the consultation paper it states that the Bill of Rights will 'make sure that the UK courts are not required to alter or interpret legislation contrary to Parliament's clearly expressed democratic will.'

So courts will not be able to challenge legislation, and therefore there will be no checks and balances; the government could essentially become above the law.

Public authorities will be able 'to discharge the functions Parliament has given them, without the fear that this will expose them to costly human rights litigation.'

Could this, for example, lead to health workers and police officers torturing and murdering with no recrimination? Such an idea might seem fanciful, and indeed the next paragraph in the paper seems to contradict this idea, as it claims to 'safeguard the vital protection for the right to life and the absolute prohibition on torture.'

This seems to be reassuring at first until you realise that the current government has been accused of kidnapping, torturing and murdering individuals in care homes and hospitals, in collusion with the NHS.

If, as the Lawyers of Light (https://t.me/lawyersoflight) say, the courts are no longer challenging government policy decisions and harmful legislation, then there is no power to stop them. This will inevitably lead to tyranny, which in fact is already happening.

They also say: 'The review seeks to impose a rights culture that displaces personal responsibility in favour of the public interest. Human rights are not rights if they can be taken away by the state, and are in fact therefore privileges to be dealt out how the state sees fit. This cannot be allowed to be the case.'

According to Martha Spurrier, director of Liberty (formerly the National Council for Civil Liberties), this is just another part of the plan to stop the people holding the government to account.

It is not an 'overhaul' as claimed in the paper, but an attack on our rights. For example, it will likely make it harder for people to enforce their rights in court. It may become necessary to go to Strasbourg as they will not be able to get justice in the UK.

Deputy Prime Minister, Lord Chancellor, and Secretary of State for Justice, Dominic Raab, says it will 'strengthen' freedom of speech, but the Human Rights Act already protects that, so why replace it?

Even if they genuinely wanted to strengthen it, which I doubt, they will be weakening it once more with the Online Safety Bill.

The consultation paper is very confusing; even former Justice of the UK Supreme Court, Lord Carnwath, is unsure what it all means.

He said: "I find it difficult to see how it's meant to operate. I certainly think it's not going to increase certainty, which is what the government seems to be saying."

As far as I can tell, it is not actually explained in the document what the Bill of Rights would even consist of; instead it relies on such vague and cliched phrases as 'provide a sharper focus on protecting fundamental rights' (page 5). What does that even mean?

It's highly likely that this is just another 'tool of tyranny', along with the new Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Bill and the Judicial Review Bill, which will limit the ability to protest and to question legislation.

Finally, It strikes me that this is not really a consultation paper at all, as it states: 'After we have received and considered the responses, we will in due course put forward legislative proposals to Parliament to revise and replace the Human Rights Act with a Bill of Rights.'

So, no matter what the responses are, the Deputy Prime Minister, Lord Chancellor and Secretary of State for Justice will make this proposal anyway. Does this sound like democracy to you?

If the courts are no longer challenging government policy decisions, this will inevitably lead to tyranny which in fact is already happening

THE HUMAN RIGHTS CONTAINED IN THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT 1998 ARE:

Article 2:	Right to life
Article 3:	Right not to be tortured or treated in an inhuman or degrading way
Article 4:	Right to be free from slavery or forced labour
Article 5:	Right to liberty
Article 6:	Right to a fair trial
Article 7:	Right not to be punished for something which wasn't against the law
Article 8:	Right to respect for private and family life, home and correspondence
Article 9:	Right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion
Article 10:	Right to freedom of expression
Article 11:	Right to freedom of assembly and association
Article 12:	Right to marry and found a family
Article 14:	Right not to be discriminated against in relation to any of the rights contained in the European convention
Article 1. Protocol 1:	Right to peaceful enjoyment of possessions
Article 2. Protocol 1:	Right to education
Article 3. Protocol 1:	Right to free elections
Article 1. Protocol 13:	Abolition of the death penalty

- ***Human Rights Act Reform: A Modern Bill Of Rights. A consultation to reform the Human Rights Act 1998: https://tinyurl.com/mtu5khcj***
- ***Who will stop human rights abuses if the government puts itself above the law? : https://tinyurl.com/tscnv6un***
- ***Human rights reform would leave former SC justice 'very confused' : https://tinyurl.com/283ve929***
- ***Lawyers of Light: t.me/lawyersoflight***

Fixing a rabbit hole - Beatlemania

Questions over who actually wrote The Fab Four's music

by **JOHN TRENT**

I CANNOT remember a time in my life when I was not crazy about The Beatles.

Sergeant Pepper's Lonely Hearts Club Band was the first record that I listened to as a young child and even today it reverberates with the sensibility of early consciousness.

The Beatles were above all the other groups and solo artists of the 1960s, a decade which produced some outstanding pop and rock music. Everything flowed from them. They warranted the exceptional praise they received from music establishment critics and the acclamation they received from the public.

I stuck with this view until 2022 when I watched a presentation by the U.S. researcher Mike Williams entitled *Did The Beatles Write All Their Own Music?*

Williams argues that, at least between 1962 and 1966, they did not, and that they may not have done so from 1967 to 1970, either.

Williams' thesis is built on an analysis of the recording of the 1965 Rubber Soul album.

In short, he argues that the official narrative about the production of Rubber Soul is not credible.

The band went into the EMI studios on Abbey Road on October 12, 1965 with an almost empty musical locker, and faced with the challenge of composing, writing, rehearsing, demoing and recording 14 original songs for the new album, and two for a double A side single (Day Tripper/ We Can Work it Out).

They are supposed to have completed all this by November 11 – and they did not work every day between these dates – with the album mixed, pressed and ready for the Christmas market by December 3.

Williams argues that it was simply not possible for the band to produce such a quantity of original music in so short a time.

According to the mainstream story, Rubber Soul's songs were recorded in an extraordinarily small number of takes. Drive My Car, for example, was knocked off in four takes, the fourth being the only complete one.

For the conventional narrative to work, there had to be no failed attempts at songs, and minimal reworking. Every song they wrote had to be nailed quickly and perfectly.

This would be a tall order under any circumstances but it becomes gigantic when you think about the great leap forward in musical sophistication and complexity that Rubber Soul represented compared to its predecessors.

The Beatles

When they were signed by EMI in 1962, The Beatles were a hard-gigging covers band that showed no signs of the musical chops that would be required to write and perform songs like Girl, Norwegian Wood, In My Life and If I Needed Someone.

If you're not sure about this, listen to the Live! at the Star-Club album which was recorded in Hamburg in December 1962, less than three years before the recording of Rubber Soul.

The sound quality is terrible but it is possible to hear the singing and playing beneath the murk and there is nothing, absolutely nothing on this record that indicates that this was a band destined for world-straddling greatness, not to mention high-grade studio proficiency.

Williams also identifies problems with Rubber Soul's production timeline, suggesting that for all the record's components, including the sleeve and the disc's label, to have been ready for manufacturing on November 19, the running order of the songs must have been known before they had all been recorded – or written, since the mainstream version says that the band were composing throughout the time they spent in the studio.

His conclusion is that the songs that appeared on Rubber Soul were already written, and the instrumental parts already recorded, by the time The Beatles arrived in the studio on October 11.

And not by The Beatles. Their job was to learn and lay down the vocal tracks. This task alone was more than enough to fill up the available recording time, Williams argues.

Williams and other researchers have also examined the set lists for The Beatles' live shows between 1962-1966 and questioned the absence of many of their 'original' compositions.

Out of 91 songs allegedly written by the band (almost all of them by Lennon and McCartney) during their time as a touring outfit, just 25 were performed live at their concerts, less than 30 per cent of the total.

And just two songs from Rubber Soul were ever performed live.

In 1966, with nearly 100 original pop classics in their armoury, The Beatles were still including rock and roll cover songs in their sets, which typically lasted for no more than half an hour.

The orthodoxy has it that by this time in the band's career, their songs had become too complicated to replicate live.

According to Wikipedia, 'none of the tracks from Revolver were included due to the difficulty in reproducing their sophisticated studio sounds and arrangements in a concert setting.'

It is interesting how widely accepted this point of view is. I subscribed to it myself for many years.

Taxman, And Your Bird Can Sing, Dr Robert, She Said She Said, etc. – Beatles tribute acts have easily mastered these songs.

The idea that the band that wrote and performed these songs to such a high standard in the studio couldn't reproduce them live just weeks later is absurd.

And then there's Drive My Car – if it's the case that they recorded just one complete take for the album, that will have been the only time the band ever played the song right through. Why would they have not wanted to include it in their live shows? Were Lennon and McCartney not proud of their composition?

It's a terrific pop song. You would think that they would be itching to play it. Drive My Car would have been great live. The band could have dropped out the vocals while the crowd all sang 'beep-beep, beep-beep, yeah!' That would have been amazing. But it never happened.

Williams believes that the most likely reason they performed such a small part of their own catalogue was because they hadn't learned how to play most of the songs that had been attributed to them.

If it was the case that The Beatles' job in the studio was to record the vocal tracks for songs that had already been written and instrumentalised, there would have been no need for them to learn to play the instrumental parts.

I have come to the sad conclusion that John, Paul, George and Ringo were merely the dancing puppets in the shop window of a gigantic psychological operation called Beatlemania and that The Beatles were in fact the world's first Beatles tribute band.

If The Beatles were a construct created by the powerful ruling class, most likely the combined efforts of the intelligence agencies, the Tavistock Institute, media interests, commercial/financial networks, elite families etc., then who knows how many other artists from that era and beyond were not the authentic articles we have been led to believe?

If the rulers lie about important political events and create theatrical diversions to mesmerise the public, why not in the fields of cinema, music and art too, which are where the masses experience much of their existence?

What better way to control and direct behaviour than through mass popular culture?

- ***No conspiracies please, we're reality theorists: https://tinyurl.com/muvhyuz***

Truth be told in fi

Although all media is propaganda, 'predictive programming' is a specific technique where mass media is used to gently suggest to the subconscious the events that 'might' occur in the future. Have you noticed most sci-fi and futuristic movies are dystopian and nihilistic (aside from the usual hero stories) where most humans are either gone or struggling to survive in post-apocalyptic

They Live

When Nada finds some special glasses, the truth is revealed to him – a world of subliminal messages to control and subdue people, and a hidden elite bent on world domination. But even his friend refuses to look at the truth at first.

The Truman Show

Truman has lived his entire life controlled and directed as an unwitting reality TV star, but strange occurrences make him start to question his seemingly idyllic life. Quote: *'We accept the reality of the world which we are presented.'*

Network

News anchor, Howard Beale, breaks character and starts telling the truth on TV. Ratings soar, but he is hauled into the boardroom where the truth of how the world is really run is disclosed. Quote: *'There are no countries, Mr. Beale... the world is a business.'*

The Matrix

The Matrix hides the truth from humanity, allowing people to live the illusion of a contented life while actually being harvested for their energy. When Neo finds out, his mind is understandably blown, but he acts.

Black Mirror

Creator, Charlie Brooker, stopped making episodes because real life had become too much like his dystopian and bleak look at now/the near future, where our lives are now lived through an actual black screen.

V FOR VENDETTA

Britain lives under a fascist tyranny (its ruler ironically played by *1984's* John Hurt) where the governments uses many weapons in order to control the population.

The

lms and TV series

scenarios? There aren't many of everyone living in a peaceful and prosperous world with no pressure and little suffering, are there? All of the films and series listed here give people a glimpse into the ways in which the majority of people are enslaved and controlled without most of them noticing – while the rulers become even more fantastically wealthy and untouchable.

Wag the Dog

Exposes how politics and the media control the narrative, so political figures can evade scandals by focussing people's attention on other things, such as a phony war. Quote: *'Change the story, change the lead.'*

Capricorn One

Three astronauts are lied to about a NASA mission to Mars, but under threat of death, have to fake the landing in a studio in order to maintain face for the authorities. Many say this is an exposé of the faked moon landings of 1969-72.



Eyes Wide Shut

Exposing some of the rites and rituals of the occulted, secret societies that run the world, underpinned by the original Bavarian Illuminati. The title could refer to the masses, who are looking but cannot see the 'great plan of the ages'.

Demolition Man

Dystopian future thriller showing a two-tier system of a pacified society, underneath which live free people desperate for food. Eventually, our hero figures out the truth.

Soylent Green

Set in a future where ignoring the climate has caused pollution, hyperinflation and poverty, and everyone, apart from the elite, eats processed gunk. Then a new type of this 'food' appears and everyone loves it...

Brazil

What happens when bureaucracy and technology are allowed to run the world, and how it doesn't work out well for most ordinary individuals. Terry Gilliam's visually stunning masterpiece.

‘Gestapo-like’ Swedish social services

by **JESSICA SMITH**

Girl attempts suicide 5 times while being kept from parents in custody battle

Left : Tiana Samson. Right: Sara Samson

A ROMANIAN Christian family living in Sweden have been engaged in a nearly two-year long legal battle to regain custody of their daughters, Tiana and Sara Samson, who were taken by Swedish authorities.

The ordeal for the Samson family began in December 2022 after Daniel, 37, a sales manager and Bianca Samson, 34, a medical assistant, refused to buy their 11-year-old daughter, Sara, a new phone and make-up.

Upon refusing, the young girl retaliated by falsely alleging to her teachers at school that she had been subject to physical abuse by her parents.

Consequently, Sara and her younger sister, Tiana – aged 11 and 10 at the time – were removed from school by child protective services, despite Sara later admitting that the allegation of abuse was untrue.

Although police launched an investigation, they concluded that there was no abuse and closed the case, but still the girls are prohibited from returning home.

The Romanian authorities have also explored several avenues to reunite the family, but their Swedish counterparts have chosen to disregard and dismiss their efforts.

Romanian Senator, Titus Corlatean, chairman of the Foreign Policy Committee, stated that, from the outset, a troubling narrative unfolded involving the child protection services utilising coercion and deceitful tactics.

This included the unsettling practice of isolating parents in separate interrogation rooms, where one parent was falsely informed of the other’s confession, in an attempt to force an admission of guilt.

Furthermore, the children were misled into believing that their parents no longer wished to see them with particular focus on the eldest sister, Sara. This tragedy bears an unnerving resemblance to that of the Bondariu family in Norway and the Furdui family in Germany.

The Bondariu family became the focus of global activism when all five of their children were removed from their care, with the headteacher who reported them citing that their Christian beliefs could undermine the children’s development, despite the parents being well-educated and respectable members of the community, whom colleagues, friends and family had nothing but praise for.

Additionally, the Furdui family had all seven of their children taken from them without any investigation, and were condemned for living according to Christian ideals by encouraging their children to pray before meals and sing hymns.

Ben Oni Ardelean, a Romanian MP, was quoted as saying, ‘the kind of child protective organisations, institutions that were set up long ago for real child protection, have become Gestapo.’

Contrary to international convention, the Convention on the Rights of the Child, the two sisters were separated and placed in different families hundreds of miles apart.

Daniel and Bianca’s already limited access to their children has been further decreased over the course of this separation. They are now restricted to a one-hour visit every six weeks.

Traumatised by these policies and the separation, Sara wrote: ‘Help me! I just want to die, I want to go home. I hate Socialförvaltningen Hässleholm (the Swedish child protective services). They have ruined my life.’

And she has made five separate attempts at suicide, which the police were alerted to. Sara was returned to the child psychiatry department and given psychotropic drugs which have been devastating to her mental and physical health, resulting in her reaching a weight of 15 stone at just 12 years old.

The Romanian officials have exhaustively pursued diplomatic channels, yet their efforts have yielded no results. Furthermore, the authorities in Bucharest have not received any response from the Swedish government.

Natalia-Elena Intotero, Romanian Minister of Family Youth and Equality of Opportunity, has engaged with Senator Titus Corlatean to coordinate a joint delegation comprised of members of the Romanian government and Senate to travel to Sweden in the hope of resolving this matter.

- ***Samson family: SWEDEN ‘I want to die, I want to go home, Socialförvaltningen Hässleholm ruined my life.’ The drama of a Romanian family abused by child protective services : https://tinyurl.com/bdf37vjw***
- ***Bodnariu family: A family’s four-year European legal challenge ends: https://tinyurl.com/37funkjh***
- ***Furdui family: Upcoming Furdui Protest : https://tinyurl.com/25cb9xj8***

Corporate profits before public health

Japanese politician lifts lid on pandemic of vaccine harm

by **AUSSIE17**

Japanese minister Kazuhiro Haraguchi describes batches of the covid jab as 'lethal' and apologises during a massive protest

THE largest protest against the World Health Organisation began with an opening speech by Kazuhiro Haraguchi, a former Minister for Internal Affairs in Japan and a current member of the House of Representatives.

The massive gathering aimed to highlight several critical issues, as Haraguchi delivered a powerful and heartfelt address that resonated with many.

Haraguchi began by addressing the grief and loss felt by countless individuals and families due to the pandemic. With a deep sense of sincerity, he extended his condolences and took responsibility for the failings of those in power.

He said: "I apologise to all of you. So many have died, and they shouldn't have." His words reflected a deep empathy and regret for the preventable tragedies that occurred, setting a sombre yet resolute tone for the event.

One of the key points in Haraguchi's speech was his criticism of the ban on Ivermectin, a drug developed by Dr Satoshi Omura, which he believed could have played a significant role in combating the pandemic.

Haraguchi questioned the motives behind the ban, suggesting that economic interests were prioritised over public health: "Why? Because they are cheap. They don't want it because it will interfere with the sales of the vaccines," he argued. This statement drew loud applause from the crowd, many of whom felt that corporate profits had taken precedence over human lives.

Haraguchi then shared a deeply personal story about his own health struggles. After receiving vaccines, he developed a severe illness, specifically a rapidly progressing form of cancer. "This time last year, I had neither eyebrows nor hair. Two out of the three supposed vaccines I received were lethal batches," he revealed.

This candid account of his battle with cancer, which included significant physical changes like hair loss, struck a chord with the audience. He recounted an incident where his appearance became a point of distraction during government debate, with an opponent focusing more on his wig than the issues at hand.

Adding to the conversation, Haraguchi disclosed that he was not the only member of Japan's National Diet (house of representatives) to suffer adverse effects from vaccines.

He mentioned that three of his colleagues had been severely affected:

"They are falling to pieces, some hospitalised. But they don't speak up," he explained. This revelation underscored a broader issue – the reluctance or inability of public figures to discuss their personal health challenges openly.

Haraguchi was particularly passionate about the attempts to silence those who question current policies and government actions.

He recounted a recent incident where he was banned from speaking on Channel 3:

"The other day, I spoke with the President of Channel 3, and I was banned. They are trying to silence our voices," he stated.

This attempt to censor dissenting voices highlighted a critical concern about freedom of speech and expression. Haraguchi urged the audience to remain steadfast in their resolve, saying: "They are trying to block our freedom, our resistance, our power. But we will never lose."

In the conclusion of his speech, Haraguchi issued a rallying call for action. He urged the people to stand united in challenging the government and its questionable decisions:

"Let's overthrow this government," he proclaimed, emphasising the need for change and accountability. He called on legislators to continue fighting for the people's lives and freedoms. "Let's make it happen," he concluded.

The protest on May 31 marked a significant moment in international discourse about pandemic management and health policies. Haraguchi's speech, filled with personal anecdotes and strong criticisms, resonated deeply with the attendees.

- ***Japan's Former Minister for Internal Affairs and Communications Apologises During Today's Massive Protest Against the WHO: https://tinyurl.com/323xfm44***

Holy cow! Raw milk good for you?

Farmers say it's warm, healthy and udderly delicious

by **SALLY FALLON MORELL**

Image: Counterpoint Research

DURING the last few years bureaucrats and public health officials have been quiet about raw milk, but then Iowa legalised its sale last May.

The accompanying publicity – in the *New York Times* and *USA Today,* plus many other publications – has resulted in a flurry of pro-pasteurisation, anti-raw milk internet posts.

One of these appeared on December 8, 2023, by Claire Dunavan, Professor Emeritus of Medicine and Infectious Diseases at the David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA.

Dunavan cannot understand the 'risky allure' of raw milk. She asks: 'Is it buyers' faith in 'Nature's perfect food' or sellers' pure, naked greed?'

Her main claims are:

- In the 1890s Nathan Straus (co-owner of Macy's) started a private foundation to dispense pasteurised milk after his son died of typhus during a vacation in Italy – the death blamed on raw milk. Dunavan claimed there was a drop in U.S. infant mortality from 125 per 1,000 to fewer than 16 per 1,000 between 1891 and 1925.
- Raw milk consumers are 840 times more likely to suffer illness than those who drink pasteurised dairy.
- Recent outbreaks of illness blamed on raw milk have occurred in California, Utah and Idaho.
- Raw milk contains dangerous pathogens like campylobacter and salmonella.
- Raw milk may cause Guillain-Barré syndrome.
- People are avoiding pasteurised milk because of milk allergy 'as opposed to a serious, even life-threatening infection'.
- The real villains are the people who sell raw milk 'because they believe there's an audience out there that will buy it' even though they 'know' that raw milk will harm some people.

I will address these points one by one, starting with the accusation that raw milk farmers are motivated by pure, naked greed. For full disclosure, I am a dairy farmer who sells raw milk.

Conventional dairy farmers today receive about the same price as they did during World War Two, even while their costs have skyrocketed. Typically, they get $1.45 per gallon, which costs them $2 to produce. This explains why the number of licensed dairy operations in the U.S. (and in the UK) has steadily declined by more than 55 per cent.

Some of these farmers have avoided going bankrupt by switching to raw milk sales. Typically, consumers are happy to pay from $5 to $10 per half gallon – enough to save the family farm, especially if the farmer reduces his costs by nourishing his cows on grass (the natural food for cows) rather than feeding grain.

Dunavan refers to farmers' desire to make a decent living as 'pure, naked greed' but let me give you an example of real greed. Dairy company CEOs typically make salaries upwards of $3m per year. They do this by keeping milk prices as low as possible.

As for Nathan Straus losing his son to typhus and blaming it on raw milk, typhus, as any doctor knows, is caused by bacteria spread by lice or fleas. Since Dunavan is a public health expert, she should know this.

Typhus thrives in filthy conditions, and it was a real problem – especially in cities – before the advent of modern housing, sewage systems and washing machines.

As for the decline in infant mortality in the U.S. at the turn of the 20th century, it was during this period that public officials worked to clean up our cities with the installation of sewage systems, rubbish collection and clean water.

Raw milk may indeed have contributed to the high death rate because it came from distillery dairies – inner city confinement dairies of unimaginable filth where cows were fed distillery waste. The milk was so deficient and watery that chalk was often added to make it look white – this was the milk that Straus wanted to pasteurise.

However, pasteurisation cannot take the credit for the decline in infant mortality as it was around this time that distillery dairies were banned. The real hero was not Nathan Straus, who did nothing for public clean-up efforts, but Dr Henry Coit, who worked to bring clean, raw milk from the countryside to the cities.

Public health officials at the time lauded Coit's certified raw milk with saving children's lives and noted that children in orphanages brought up on raw milk were healthier than those given pasteurised milk.

About raw milk safety, Dunavan repeats the recent claim that people who drink raw milk are 840 times more likely to contract food-borne illness than those who do not.

But an analysis by epidemiologist, Peg Coleman, based on data considered by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS), found that, on a per annum basis, out of 23 foods considered, pasteurised milk ranked second highest and raw milk ranked seventh highest in causing severe illness. The real question that one must ask, however, is: how accurate are reports of illness and death from raw milk?

According to the late Dr Ted Beals, who analysed reports of foodborne illness from 1999 to 2011, Government data reported an average of 42 illnesses from raw milk per year out of 90,771 illnesses from all sources.

Using these figures, Dr Beals concluded that one is 35,000 times more likely to become ill from other foods than from raw milk. Beals also noted that there is no way to quantify whether any one food is safer than another from the data we have, but at the same time it is clear that there is no basis for singling out raw milk as 'inherently dangerous'.

Recently, melons have ranked high in causing illness – including an outbreak from cantaloupe that resulted in over 300 illnesses, over 100 hospitalizations and four deaths. Where is Dunavan's outcry against greedy melon growers? And what about raw oysters, which kill 15 people per year? Where are the warnings to oyster lovers not to eat these terrible things?

- ***See full article in Caduceus magazine: https://tinyurl.com/mrx93dc5***
- ***The milk supply of hospitals: https://tinyurl.com/mue3zjrj***

Celebrate our ancestors' knowledge

Summer freedom festival will promote good health

by **PHIL ESCOTT**

Celebration of wellbeing set for North-West next month

AS readers of *The Light* are keenly aware, we have been lied to on so many fronts for centuries, if not for millennia.

One area where people are still confused is in the field of diet and lifestyle. Having studied this for 42 years myself and been wrong in this field for 30 of those, I know all too well the levels of deception that keep us in the dark, even when we think we are reasonably well-studied.

Searching for the truth about how our ancestors actually ate and lived to stay healthy and free from our chronic diseases can be like peeling back the layers of a giant onion.

The influence of big food, big pharma, the tech companies and spiritual and religious factions have taken us away from the basic understanding of our ancestral heritage.

And from within this heritage can be found most of the surprisingly simple secrets we need to know to keep us out of the dangerous grip of the modern disease management system we know as 'health care'.

Our healthiest foods are now touted as causing everything from heart disease and cancer to pandemics and climate change, while the deadly Frankenfoods that the corporations make billions out of are supposedly great for our health.

Meanwhile, we are told to keep out of the sun, the main life-giving force in nature, because it has somehow become dangerous.

We are also told to favour endless chemical cleansers over the bacteria that have strengthened our immune systems and that we have lived in harmony with forever.

More recently, our descent into addiction to artificial blue light from hours of screen time per day, and the EMFs that we are bathed in to get access to social media, etc. is deranging our delicate hormonal balance.

Appearing at the festival - Top, from left: Phil Escott, Ben Hunt, Richard Smith. Bottom, from left: Daz Nesbit, Dr Sara Pugh, Nickita Starck

Everything we have been told is completely upside down. The good news is that the answers lie in the wisdom of the past.

Part of that ancestral heritage is getting together in groups and tribes and sharing this old wisdom, and that's the magic of the freedom festivals. We thought we would take that model and gather some of the leading experts in the country in various ancestrally based knowledge in a festival setting.

They will be speaking on our true ancestral diet, quantum/circadian biology, regenerative farming, autoimmune and metabolic disease reversal, natural childbirth, biochemistry, the climate con and how it affects our food production and availability, and much more.

There will also be demonstrations covering butchery, blacksmithing, natural movement/exercise, bushcraft etc., plus fire pits to gather around and swap stories, and even cook the grass-fed organic meat available.

Children are welcome, and there will be activities for them too, including bushcraft by the Forrest School, plus energy healing and stalls offering organic products. There will also be a DJ and even live music from The Daz Band, fronted by *The Light*'s own Darren Nesbit.

Camping is available for those with tents and caravans or motorhomes, so come and join us for a memorable weekend from August 16-18, at The Junction in Rainford, Merseyside.

- ***For more details of ticket options, speaker bios and how to book, see the link below, please visit: https://ancestralhealthfestival.com***
- ***Or email us at: healthfestival@outlook.com***

Help us print the uncensored truth: thelightpaper.co.uk/donate

Caring for the fragile mind

by **JOHN H TOWNSEND**

Look out for your friends with mental health issues

Reaching out to a friend or loved one can be life changing. Photo: Cottonbro

IN the vibrant tapestry of human experience, mental health is a crucial thread that weaves through the lives of many, often hidden beneath the surface of everyday interactions.

With the prevalence of mental health issues on the rise, it is imperative that we, as a society and as individuals, recognize the profound significance of looking out for our friends who may be grappling with these invisible battles.

In this exploration, we delve into the reasons why our vigilance, compassion, and support can be life-altering for those around us.

Mental health conditions have long been shrouded in stigma, but the tide is turning as awareness grows. According to the World Health Organisation, one in four people will be affected by mental or neurological disorders at some point in their lives.

Yet, despite such staggering statistics, individuals with mental health issues often suffer in silence, fearing judgement and misunderstanding. This silence can be detrimental, leading to worsened conditions and, in dire cases, to the tragedy of suicide.

Friends occupy a unique position in our lives, offering a blend of companionship, love, and support. They are often the first to notice changes in our behaviour, mood, or demeanour. This proximity and bond places friends in a pivotal role when it comes to recognising and responding to signs of mental distress. Friendship, thus, becomes a frontline of defence, a beacon of hope for those who might otherwise remain unseen.

One of the most profound ways friends can aid those with mental health challenges is by helping to dismantle the barriers of stigma and silence. By creating a safe space for open dialogue, friends can encourage their loved ones to share their struggles without fear of reproach. Initiating conversations about mental health normalises these issues, making it clear that mental health is just as important as physical health.

Early intervention is key in managing mental health conditions. Friends who are attentive can spot early warning signs, such as withdrawal from social activities, changes in eating or sleeping patterns, or mood swings. By recognising these signs, friends can guide their loved ones toward seeking professional help if needed, before the situation escalates. The sooner a person with mental health issues receives support, the better the outcomes tend to be.

For someone with a mental health condition, the path to recovery can be long and winding. It is a journey that benefits greatly from the steady presence of friends who can offer encouragement, help with daily tasks, and provide a listening ear. These acts of kindness can be the very threads that keep an individual tethered to hope during their darkest hours.

Caring for friends with mental health issues also has a wider societal impact. It generates a ripple effect, fostering a culture of empathy and understanding. As more people feel empowered to speak up and seek help, the collective effort can lead to improved mental health resources and policies that benefit the entire community.

Supporting a friend with mental health issues is not only beneficial to them but can also be an enlightening experience for the supporter. It cultivates patience, deepens emotional intelligence, and broadens perspectives on the human condition. Through this process, friends learn the importance of boundaries and self-care, ensuring that they can provide support without compromising their own well-being.

Knowledge is a powerful ally in combating mental health issues. Friends who educate themselves about different conditions and their symptoms can offer more effective support. Knowing the facts dispels myths and misconceptions, equipping friends with the tools to advocate for their loved ones and help navigate the healthcare system.

There is strength in numbers. When friends come together to support someone with a mental health issue, it demonstrates a powerful solidarity. It sends a message that the individual is not alone in their struggle. Group support can also alleviate the pressure on any single individual, distributing the responsibility and ensuring a more sustainable support system.

The call to look out for our friends with mental health issues is, at its core, a call to action for compassion, understanding, and proactive engagement. It is a reminder that mental health is not a solitary concern but a communal responsibility.

As friends, we are in a unique position to make a real difference - to offer a lifeline to those who may be on the verge of losing hope.

In conclusion, the importance of looking out for our friends with mental health issues cannot be overstated. It is a duty that transcends mere obligation, becoming a moral imperative in a world where mental health is increasingly recognised as a critical component of overall wellness.

By standing with our friends, we affirm the intrinsic value of every individual, regardless of the challenges they face. It is a testament to the enduring power of friendship and the indomitable strength of the human spirit to overcome adversity through unity and support.

Musical resistance to war

by **NIALL McCRAE**

AS the drumbeat for war gets louder, will the general public meekly accept conscription (euphemistically described as 'national service') or will a mass protest movement emerge?

In the 1960s, the newly emancipated black people of Chicago and other industrial cities of northern USA found their voice against a new tyranny – the Vietnam War. Motown, the famous record label based in the motor city of Detroit, released several songs of anti-war sentiment.

Motown, in the mid-Sixties, was as apolitical as it could be. Sugary pop by Diana Ross and the Supremes, The Temptations, Four Tops and the Jackson Five was laced with the strings of the Detroit Symphony Orchestra, producing a sound that hooked transistor radio listeners. But by the end of the decade, the mood had shifted, and 1970 became the vintage year of musical resistance to the Vietnam War.

The Supremes, after Diana Ross went solo, produced some mature and insightful songs, directly or indirectly relating to the war. 'Up the Ladder to the Roof', their first hit after the departure of Ross, is a lover's pledge to meet on the way to heaven, beyond the worldly strife below. The song's reference to 'broken dreams' meant more at the time.

The iconic Motown label

The next hit by the Supremes was more blatant: 'Stoned Love' was an impassioned rejection of war. It described not a high on illicit drugs, as misinterpreted by radio stations (and thus hardly played), but a solid bond that only battle could break.

Rivals to the Supremes on the Motown label were Martha Reeves and the Vandellas. The hit 'Forget Me Not' sounds like a sweet love song, but the words are about the suspension of romance by the draft. As the man sails away in a troopship, his lover sings:

Forget me not, boy

Write a letter every day

Don't throw my picture away

Forget me not boy

Let my love within you burn

I'll be there when you return

Jimmy Ruffin's 'Farewell is a Lonely Sound' is a view from the reluctant GI's side, but he too expects to be back soon. The reality was less certain, of course. Another song of 1970 by Martha Reeves and the Vandellas was an angry lament. 'I Should be Proud' portrayed the anguish of a wife on being informed that her man had died in combat:

They say that I should be proud

But he wasn't fighting for me

My Johnny didn't have to die for me

He was fightin' for the evils of society

The most iconic Motown song of resistance was 'War' by Edwin Starr. Written in 1969, it was offered to the Temptations, but they declined, fearing that it might not be appreciated by the group's wide following. The lyrics don't pull punches: -

War, I despise

'Cause it means destruction of innocent lives

War means tears to thousands of mothers' eyes

When their sons go off to fight

And lose their lives

War is a 'heartbreaker' – all pain and no gain. In 1971, one of the most popular contemporary songs of all time came out on the Motown label. 'What's Going On' by Marvin Gaye was inspired by Four Tops member Renaldo Benson witnessing police brutality against anti-war protestors in People's Park, in Berkeley, California.

We have no active musical resistance today; most people do not realise that war is coming. And on that note let's end with Morrissey, and his song 'The Lazy Sunbathers':

A world war was announced days ago

But they didn't know, the lazy sunbathers

Children shelled, that's all very well

But would you please keep the noise down low

Because you're waking the lazy sunbathers.

True pearls: Marine biologist Rachel Carson

by **RICHARD HOUSE**

THE 'control of nature' is a phrase conceived in arrogance... So primitive a science has armed itself with the most modern and terrible weapons.... How could intelligent beings seek to control a few unwanted species by a method that contaminated the entire environment...?

Man has displayed a passion for simplifying nature's great variety. Thus he undoes the built-in checks and balances by which nature holds the species within bounds.

We have subjected enormous numbers of people to contact with these [poisonous and biologically potent chemicals], without their consent and often without their knowledge.

The central problem of our age has become the contamination of man's total environment with such substances... that accumulate in the tissues of plants and animals and even penetrate the germ cells to shatter or alter the very material of heredity upon which the shape of the future depends.

Rachel Carson (1907-64)

For the first time in the history of the world, every human being is now subjected to contact with dangerous chemicals, from the moment of conception until death.

We are accustomed to look for the gross and immediate effects and to ignore all else... The lack of sufficiently delicate methods to detect injury before symptoms appear is one of the great unsolved problems in medicine.

This is an era of specialists, each of whom sees his own problem and is unaware or intolerant of the larger frame into which it fits.

The more clearly we can focus our attention on the wonders and realities of the universe, the less taste we shall have for destruction.

It is more important to pave the way for a child to want to know than to put them on a diet of facts that they are not ready to assimilate.

Only as a child's awareness and reverence for the wholeness of life are developed can his humanity to his own kind reach its full development.

Natural beauty has a necessary place in the spiritual development of any individual or any society. Whenever we substitute something man-made and artificial for a natural feature of the earth, we have retarded some part of man's spiritual growth.

Have we fallen into a mesmerized state that makes us accept as inevitable that which is inferior or detrimental, as though having lost the will or the vision to demand that which is good?

Who would want to live in a world which is just not quite fatal?

Knowing what I do, there would be no future peace for me if I kept silent.

The real wealth of the nation lies in the resources of the Earth: soil, water, forests, minerals, and wildlife... Their administration is not properly, and cannot be, a matter of politics.

The Light

LONDON. 10th Jan 1919 — The Uncensored Truth - A Free Truthpaper — Winter Edition

The Lusitania - truth is out at last

by John Hamer

- **British and American government collusion?**
- **Will charges of treason be brought?**
- **Leaked German documents provide damning evidence**
- **Churchill ordered Destroyer escort to withdraw**

Thanks to recently leaked German government documents, we now know that one of the primary catalysts for the entry of America into the Great War of 1914-18 was the sinking of the liner Lusitania by a German U-Boat off the southern coast of Ireland on the May 7, 1915.

The Lusitania was carrying hundreds of wealthy American passengers, but the significant load carried by the liner was not the passengers! Deliberately, but unknown to most on board, Lusitania was illegally carrying a large cache of armaments bound for the battlefields of Europe.

Will charges of treason be brought?

It is now known for certain that Winston Churchill and Woodrow Wilson, the president of the USA, were complicit in an operation financed by several major banking houses, arranging for the shipment of weapons on board Lusitania which was owned by the Cunard Line Shipping Company and officially part of the British auxiliary navy.

The ship's owners were paid £218,000 per year. As an auxiliary naval ship, Lusitania was under orders from the British Admiralty to ram any German ship seeking to inspect her cargo. Also, notably in 1915, it was against U.S. law to place weapons on a passenger ship travelling to England or Germany.

German spies

Three German spies attempted to confirm that the so-called '90 tons of unrefrigerated butter' destined for a British naval base were weapons and ammunition. The spies were detained on the ship, but the weapons loaded onto Lusitania were seen by a group of German immigrant dock workers and reported to the German embassy. In order to warn Americans about the weapons shipment, the Imperial German Embassy attempted to place an advertisement in 50 East Coast newspapers. The ads were due to be printed on the April 22, 1915, but the U.S. State Department blocked all the ads except one which somehow escaped the net.

George Viereck, the man who placed the ads for the embassy, protested to the State Department on the April 26, 1915 that the ads were blocked. Viereck met with U.S. Secretary of State, William Jennings Bryan, and produced copies of Lusitania's supplementary manifests.

Bryan, impressed by the evidence that Lusitania had carried weapons, cleared publication of the warning but someone more powerful than the Secretary of State, most likely Colonel Mandel House or President Wilson, overruled Bryan.

Nonetheless, the one ad slipped past the State Department censors and appeared in the Des Moines Register (pictured).

Winston Churchill

This Churchill quote speaks volumes about what really happened and why.

'There are many kinds of manoeuvres in war... There are manoeuvres in time, in diplomacy, in mechanics, in psychology; all of which are removed from the battlefield, but react often decisively upon it... The manoeuvre which brings an ally into the field is as serviceable as that which wins a great battle.'



NOTICE!

TRAVELLERS intending to embark on the Atlantic voyage are reminded that a state of war exists between Germany and her allies and Great Britain and her allies; that the zone of war includes the waters adjacent to the British Isles; that, in accordance with formal notice given by the Imperial German Government, vessels flying the flag of Great Britain, or of any of her allies, are liable to destruction in those waters and that travellers sailing in the war zone on ships of Great Britain or her allies do so at their own risk.

IMPERIAL GERMAN EMBASSY

WASHINGTON, D. C., APRIL 22, 1915.

The advert that appeared in the Des Moines Register

On the May 7, 1915, Lusitania slowed to 75 per cent speed hoping the English escort vessel Juno would arrive. Unknown to Captain Turner, Winston Churchill had ordered Juno to return to port and so Churchill's order left Lusitania unprotected in an area known to be swarming with U-boats.

To put this in perspective, Britain had deciphered the German communications code in December 1914, and therefore the level of detail known by the British Admiralty was so precise that even U-boat numbers and general locations were known.

For example, the British Admiralty knew U-30 left the area for Germany on the May 4, and the U-27 left the area because of jammed bow planes.

One torpedo was fired on May 7, and the warhead's 300 pounds of explosives detonated upon contact with Lusitania. The Lusitania's Captain Turner reported that the first explosion sounded 'like a heavy door being slammed shut' and was followed by a much larger explosion that rocked the ship. 'An unusually heavy detonation,' Turner noted in the log. Lusitania sank 15-18 minutes later, with a huge loss of life.

German government statement

On May 28, 1915, Germany's official response to the U.S. government's protest stated the German government had no intention of attacking U.S. vessels which are not guilty of hostile acts. The Imperial German government wrote that Lusitania:

'..was one of the largest and fastest English commerce steamers, constructed with government funds as auxiliary cruisers, and is expressly included in the navy list published by the British Admiralty.

'It is, moreover, known to the Imperial government from reliable information furnished by its officials and neutral passengers that for some time practically all the more valuable English merchant vessels have been provided with guns, ammunition and other weapons, and reinforced with a crew specially practised in manning guns. According to reports at hand here, the Lusitania when she left New York undoubtedly had guns on board which were mounted under decks and masked.'

The official document leaked from German sources also spells out that Lusitania had 5400 cases of ammunition that would be used to kill German soldiers. One particular section of the document states that the British merchant marine ships received secret instruction in February from the British Admiralty to seek protection behind neutral flags and when so disguised, attack German submarines by ramming them. The German official response that war contraband was on board explains the second explosion.

British government fears backlash

The Elite banking families and Britain's leaders are now fearing the negative repercussions from the Americans when they learn they were tricked into The Great War.

It is now clear that 1,201 people were sacrificed on Lusitania to create a reason for the U.S. to enter the war. It is also known that Lusitania carried 1,248 cases of three-inch shells, 4,927 boxes of cartridges (1,000 rounds in each box), and 2,000 more cases of small-arms ammunition.

Churchill wrote the week prior to Lusitania sinking, that it was 'most important to attract neutral shipping to our shores, in the hopes especially of embroiling the United States with Germany'.

Churchill did indeed say and do many things to drag America into The Great War. He attempted to mislead both the British and American public that the sinking of Lusitania was premeditated. Churchill did this for several reasons, including to distract people from the reports that the Juno Destroyer protection had been deliberately removed.

He attributed the lack of Destroyer protection as being confused with internal disputes within the Admiralty about a Gallipoli campaign in the Ottoman Empire. His Lusitania war propaganda also included misinforming the public that multiple torpedoes were fired to explain how the ship sank in 18 minutes, further fuelling hatred for the German people.

'If people really knew the truth, the war would be stopped tomorrow. But of course they don't know, and can't know.'

David Lloyd George, Britain's Prime Minister during The Great War, to C.P. Scott, editor of the Manchester Guardian, December 1917.

- **John Hamer Official Bitchute channel: https://tinyurl.com/mrxbyewj**
- **https://falsificationofhistory.co.uk**

Letters to The LIGHT

Love us or hate us, we are helping raise the level of debate in this country and across the world, so we welcome views from all perspectives, because the enemies of free thought and free speech are censorship and group-think. We are all individuals – let's have your thoughts! ***Please email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk***

Dear The Light,

A distributor of *The Light* recently complained to his local paper that those of us protesting against the paper's content were akin to '17th century virtue-signalling Puritans who cancelled all the fun, including Christmas.'

Spreading false and dangerous information is not my definition of having fun. On the front page of this month's so-called 'truthpaper' is a wicked lie – 'No disease has been proven to be contagious. And therefore no vaccine can protect against them.'

So, according to *The Light*, the following diseases are not contagious: rubella, measles, ebola, rabies, hepatitis B and C, lassa, dengue and yellow fever, genital warts, chickenpox, polio and even the common cold. Not to mention covid-19. Our grandparents were wrong. 'Coughs and sneezes DO NOT spread diseases.'

I wonder if any of those who distribute *The Light* have a sneaking admiration for members of the Taliban, who recently killed eight polio vaccination workers in northern Afghanistan to prevent them from practising 'Western' medicine?

I can't end this restrained rant without pointing out that vaccines were being used in China long before Jenner 'discovered' them.

Anthony Hentschel

Dear The Light,

Naughty British People?

Should we blame the Romans for how Britain evolved? The Vikings? Who? History is exactly that - history. We must move forward. If I (who was born in London) went to any other Country, can I demand everyone there adopt my values and opinions? Of course not. Therefore, this deliberately engineered cultural obliteration to create World Communism must be stopped. It is comprehensively documented by unbiased intellectuals that it simply wouldn't work anyway.

Every country and its population is different and must remain that way. It's 'Life's Rich Tapestry' and these on-going heinous acts continue to destroy many lives. The greedy, short-sighted few involved even have the audacity to brag about it.

This is not the British public discriminating in any way whatsoever; it is criminal behaviour by a gang of known villains.

Peter Manley

Dear The Light,

You call your paper *The Light*; Jesus is the light of the world. You claim to uphold the truth, but Jesus is The Way and The Truth and The Life.

Now you deal with modern lies in our society except one which is ancient: that the Jews and Israel are 'The Baddies'. So you have all this truth and then a dirty great lie in the middle – why should I trust you?

Jon Padget

Response from the Editor:

For the record, God did not unconditionally promise the land of Israel to the twelve tribes. He made it very clear that if they did not follow His commandments on how to behave, they would be removed from the land and scattered across the Earth.

To think that God is more concerned with who you were born to than how you act towards others is folly. To visit the imprisoned, aid the widow and orphan and treat other ethnicities as your own.

All governments are corrupt, murderous criminal organisations leading the world into destruction, so it can be remade in the rulers' image, and yes, this includes Israel's government too.

What genocide is she referring to, as no genocide has been proved? In my opinion, the article was written with a bias towards Palestine and an anti-Semitic form towards Israel. I feel she is expressing her personal opinion only.

No mention of why the recent conflict began. No mention of Hamas and its atrocities on October 7.

No mention of the hostages. No mention of the fact that Israel is fighting for her survival on at least 8 sides of her borders by terrorist rocket and missile attacks.

No mention of the tens of thousands of displaced Israelis or the damage done by daily rocket and missile attacks.

No mention of the UN's complicity of not naming Hamas as a terrorist organisation or the UN'S total disregard of calling for the release of the hostages.

She also practically states that all Jews in Israel are illegal interlopers in a land they have occupied since before biblical times.

To say that I am totally disappointed with your paper now is an understatement and I will now be thinking twice before reading another.

T. Smith

Dear The Light,

With regard to the article in issue 41, *Complicity with genocide is a crime:*

After reading this article, I was deeply disappointed with your editorial decision to print it.

Dear The Light,

I don't have an issue with those who have had the jab and regretted it. I do have a problem with normie behaviour.

I have a problem with it because, in my opinion, it causes so many problems in the world, in the sense that while we have got tyrants in positions of power doing bad things, it is the normies who wittingly or unwittingly support them, just by going along with everything that those in power want them to do.

The powers that shouldn't be got the majority to have the jabs – they went along with that. They very much said that Ukraine is an innocent, peaceful country, and that Putin is the next Hitler, and they went along with or mindlessly believed that. They go on about carbon emissions, and they go along with that, or at least don't oppose it. If the tyrants at the top told everyone that those of us who are awake are far-right terrorists who are dangerous, they would mindlessly believe that as well. Just as some of them have been very fanatical about the jabs, they can be the same about anything else those in power say as well.

The way I see it, normie behaviour really is a massive problem in this world that really needs to be condemned. It emboldens and empowers tyrants. For a better world, you need opposition to tyranny, not mindless compliance to it. People being silent and keeping their heads down in this situation will continue to harm many. That poem in issue 45 by Robert Stuart – I personally don't see the point in it. Why are awake people boring to him? If it is the case that all they can talk about is conspiracies and all the crummy things that are happening in the world, then maybe that is something for awake people to stop and think about.

Best regards,

Daniel Bargent

Response from the Editor:

I find it hard to refer to the majority of people who go along with and defend whatever the government and institutions say as 'normies'. By complying with the madness, they become mad, crazy and insane.

We are the normal ones, who refuse to accept harmful rules or go along with pointless edicts; they are not normal in the slightest.

THE LIGHT RECRUIT

WE ARE HIRING

gemini

...creating lifetime bonds

ADHESIVES | TAPES | PVC PROFILES | SUSTAINABILITY

We are looking to recruit 4 individuals for two new roles:

- **Office Based Sales –** *Inbound & outbound calls, order taking, cold calling, following up leads. Office Locations: Nottingham & Manchester.*
- **Field Sales –** *Creating leads & appointments, demonstrating products, cold calling, New business focused. Candidates considered nationwide.*

To apply please call us on 01530 224 712
Or drop us a DM

To find out more about what we do, please head over to www.geminiadhesivesgroup.com

GAG001 - GAG004

Trainee Holistic Dental Nurse

Southampton

Dr Elmar Jung Dental Clinic prode themselves on their unique holistic, biological,and integrative approach to oral health.

They are currently looking for a Trainee Dental Nurse to join their dynamic team.

In house training is provided and the candidate will also be enrolled on a dental nursing course.

Ref: EJD001

Gemini Adhesives Franchise Opportunity

Franchise Type: Car/Van and Office based, Adhesive and Extrusions sales & distribution.

Web: www.geminiadhesivesgroup.com Call: Neve Thompson on 01623 404601

Franchise Cost: £0 Year One GP Projection: £28,000-£36,000

Territory: United Kingdom allocated into 16 demographical areas

Uncapped earning potential, and scope for expanding business throughout the UK.

Brief Details:

The Gemini franchise is the opportunity to run your own business, leaving your old routine behind. You'll have the flexibility to plan your own days, splitting your time between the office and customer visits with support from us all the way.

*Generating your own leads and appointments • Contacting lapsed and potential customers from our database * Reporting back on the outcome of your weekly appointments * Managing of your own customer base * Two options available – Sales Only or Complete sales & distribution franchise*

Ref: GAF001

THE LIGHT RECRUIT

sovereign jobs for sovereign people

Full job descriptions are available on our website, www.thelightrecruit.co.uk.

To apply, please email a copy of your CV along with the reference number of the job you are applying for to info@thelightrecruit.co.uk

www.thelightrecruit.co.uk info@thelightrecruit.co.uk @thelightrecruit

GEMINI SPRAY ADHESIVES

Commercial Spray Adhesives and Glue

Gemini spray adhesives manufacture premium quality spray adhesives for commercial applications including contract flooring, roofing & upholstery

gemini

...creating lifetime bonds

ADHESIVES | TAPES | PVC PROFILES | SUSTAINABILITY

www.geminiadhesivesgroup.com

sales@geminiadhesivesgroup.com

NEW DESIGN T-SHIRTS AND HOODIES

In every colour of the rainbow

thelightpaperwear.co.uk

Q Badges

2 x Q Badge of your choice.

Please notify us of the badges of your choice.

Please note

We will send 1 x UK and 1 x USA automatically if no choice is made.

Web link to purchase

https://buy.stripe.com/6oE14T4IfdklbuMbII

Legal **BRIDGE TWO LAW** Lawfull

Save thousands in court fees and quicker results by lawful mediation

Mediation • Family - Contact Agreements • Property - Shares • Negligence Claims • Planning - Appeals • School Admissions • Power of Attorney • Fire Arms Appeals • Insolvency Actions • Court - Support • Unregulated Lawful Advice • Compensation by Donation • Legal and Lawful Knowledge Shares • Commercial and Common liens.

07950-600-573

hannahbader@protonmail.com

The Trust Works

All Rights Reserved in Trust Under God

Settle Your Property into Your Own Private Trust. That way You will own Nothing, Control Everything and be Very Happy about it! **What You don't Own, Can't be taken away from You.**

www.thetrustworks.co.uk

Learn how investing in gold allows you to safeguard, accumulate and tax efficiently manage your wealth for peace of mind in the future

We pride ourselves on our friendly, award winning customer service and free guidance

www.bleyerbullion.co.uk **01769 618618**

Scan here for a discount code

the dementia pioneers

Can I do anything to prevent dementia, or slow down the decline or possibly even recover lost abilities? Yes!

The **Root Causes of Dementia** (including the Alzheimer's type) are now well researched and documented, but most people are still unaware of them. For topics related to dementia prevention, root causes and recovery, scan the green code above or visit:

www.dementiapioneers.uk

If *you or someone you know* is experiencing **brain fog, cognitive decline or memory loss, don't give up - learn about the many causes and feel empowered to take charge of your health!**

Garuth Chalfont, PhD studies the **root causes of dementia**. Scan the purple code to 4 workshops he made with the **People's Health Alliance.** Link: **https://payhip.com/b/o7Eq2** You will be asked to pay a donation of any amount which helps support the **Dementia Pioneers** and the **People's Health Alliance.**

These talks cover **modifiable factors:** Toxins, breathing, sleep, trauma, stress, emotions & beliefs, infections, diet, movement & exercise, nature, light, grounding and stimulation.

The People's Health Alliance PHA

EMAIL dementiapioneers@proton.me

Dementia Pioneers CIC

Registered Company #7997824

SCAN ME

GRANDER WATER REVITALISATION

A Quality Water Solution for the Whole Family

"When we drink Grander Water, it is as if we are refreshing ourselves from a pure mountain spring"

Water & Family Health

Water loses its natural energetic potential when treated with chemicals and pumped through pipes over long distances, causing water to becomes damaged, stagnant less vital and flat to the taste, loosing its natural health giving properties.

- **Choose to have a vibrant healthy water flowing from every tap in your home**
- **The taste and quality of bottled water straight from your tap**
- **Scientifically proven to reduce scale, increase microbiological stability and save on heating costs**

Table top systems from £225 & £1,161 for single tap systems to standard house systems with up to three baths prices from £3,980

WATER IS OUR STRENGTH

GRANDER WATER

Sales Office t: **0333 390 9479**

e: **waterinfo@granderwater.co.uk**

www.granderwater.co.uk

Covid CBDCs
Climate Crisis
USA Crisis
Great Reset
5G UN-WEF etc

We trawl the web so you don't have to

https://www.freecitizen.uk/

Free Citizen UK

ONE JOURNEY DESCRIBING SEVERAL UNIMAGINABLE BATTLES IN A GLOBAL WAR

An ordinary bloke from England who has experienced some things less ordinary, such as losing £millions, bombs in the Middle East, altercations with rock stars and judges… and, like many of us, the unfathomable battles with friends and family. This war is for the freedom of mankind. ***We will prevail. It will be biblical.***



Cover illustration courtesy of the genius that is Bob Moran

"So much of your book resonated with me."
Matt Le Tissier

"A great book for anyone who wants a funny. uplifting and touching frontline report from someone who saw through the scam."
Mark Attwood

"Loving it."
Ben Turner

"Buy this book!"
Kate Sherimani

Available online and through book retailers who have woken up... and the odd one or two that haven't, yet

Published worldwide by Fisher King Publishing

USE CODE **THELIGHT10** FOR 10% DISCOUNT

INCOGNITO PRIVACY TECH

PRIVACY SMART PHONES, TABLETS, LAPTOPS & MORE



ENJOY A **FULL SUITE** OF **PRIVACY RESPECTING APPS** ON DEVICES THAT ARE **READY TO USE**.

EVERY DAY TECHNOLOGY THAT PROTECTS YOUR PRIVACY

YOUR PRIVACY IS NOT NEGOTIABLE

FREE UK DELIVERY · 1 YEAR WARRANTY · DETAILED GUIDES · INT. DELIVERY · EXPERT ADVICE

VISIT OUR WEBSITE AT WWW.PRIVACYTECH.STORE

Chlorine Dioxide Solution (CDS)

- Strength: 3000ppm
- Made in the UK
- Free shipping around the UK
- Starting at £10.99

Buy online at **buycds.co.uk**
and also at **campingstuff.uk**

WANTED

We are seeking out true and talented warriors

TWO for each COUNTY across the land,
who are keen to defend their COUNTY against corporate corruption.

Contenders will be competent on the keyboard, fearless in character and ready to suffer for the right reasons.

If your price is less than your life, do not bother writing.

Others will send their CV by snail-mail ONLY, giving a snail-mail return address to:
Livingstones, Suite 206, 6 Queen Street, Huddersfield Yorkshire England [hd1 2sq]

FREEDOM FEST 24

FRI 11th – SUN 13th AUGUST 2024

SYSTON & DISTRICT SOCIAL CLUB, LEICESTER, LE7 1GP

EARLY BIRD Weekend Tickets available - £22 · UNDER 16s FREE · TICKETS AVAILABLE NOW AT... frpromotions@protonmail.com

CAMPING · STALLS · ORGANIC FOOD · ORGANIC JUICE BAR · SPEAKERS & LIVE MUSIC

SPEAKERS

DR JO WHITTAKER Interactive talk from health expert "The Crystals & Water Experience" • DAVE VOCE Survival gardening
ANDREW BRIDGEN UK MP standing for truth & justice • MARK ATTWOOD Popular podcast host, punk poet & stand up comic
FIONA ROSE DIAMOND "Truth be told" supporting the vax damaged • MERVE GASKIN The Tribe of Leicestershire
JOHN O'LOONEY The funeral director unafraid to tell the truth • DR TECK KHONG Retired GP, Alliance for Democracy & Freedom
MICK THE TICKET Veteran freedom activist • DR MOHAMMED ADIL Censored doctor Re-platformed

MUSIC

TOMMY COYLE Finely craft songs with prescient lyrics • DOG & GUN BAND House band from the Legendary Dog & Gun pub
MOONJUICE A mix of subterranean grooves, northern light and anti-"new normal" blues • TRI-SUBVERSION Leicester anti reset punk rock
OUT OF KARMA Divine rebel vibes. Dub rock reggae band • THE LOOKOUT Blending melodic storytelling folk & old skool reggae rhythms
REISS THOMPSON Brotherhood Legion, mental health through fitness • JACK & RHETT Soulful songs from two Leicester music legends
DJ RASTA MIKEY Reggae vibes • RYAN SANDERS Pro-freedom, high energy grime rap
OMNIVIBES Indian ragas with sitar blues rock • DAZ NEZ Founder of Light Paper, singer/songwriter • MATT HOY Former singer UB40

UNSIGNED-FM.co.uk REVOLUTIONARY RADIO · The Uncensored Truth TheLIGHT

KNIGHTS BULLION

Shielding Your Wealth — Protecting Your Future

OUR PRICE PROMISE TO LIGHT READERS

We will beat or match any national bullion dealers prices on buying your precious metals

DIGI-GOLD

Gold held with Knights Bullion backed by physical metal in the UK with no storage fees and low premiums.

Convert Digi-gold quickly and easily back into currency or into investment grade LBMA recognised Metalor bars should you wish to have your gold in your custody.
Digi-gold gives you much needed flexibility in challenging financial times.

CALL US FOR FURTHER DETAILS

As a Bullion Exchange we offer excellent rates on the liquidation of all precious metals and scrap gold & silver.

Knights Bullion are trusted suppliers of The Royal Mint, Metalor and Umicore products, giving you the assurance of authenticity.

CALL CHRIS FOR A PERSONAL CONSULTATION

01789 266595

chris@knightsbullion.com

www.knightsbullion.com

42 Wood Street, Stratford upon Avon CV37 6JG

This Light Paper is distributed by: